STATE OF INDIANA	)
	) SS:
COUNTY OF LAKE	) .

## BEFORE THE INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION OCTOBER 19, 1995

BE IT REMEMBERED that the following proceedings were had before me, RUTH GRISSMAN, Court Reporter, on Thursday, October 19, 1995, at the Inter-Generational Center, 1402 E. Chicago Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana.

KAREN M. PRICE & ASSOCIATES
COMPUTER-ASSISTED REPORTERS
P.O. BOX 11270
MERRILLVILLE, IN 46411
(219) 756-0702

	APPEARANCES:
2	On Behalf of the Indiana Gaming Commission:
3	ALAN I. KLINEMAN, Chairman
4	ANN MARIE BOCHNOWSKI, Vice-Chairperson
5	DONALD VOWELS, Secretary
6	THOMAS F. MILCAREK
7	ROBERT W. SUNDWICK
8	ROBERT SWAN
. 9	DAVID E. ROSS, JR., M.D.
10	JOHN J. THAR, Executive Director
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	

## <u>I N D E X</u>

1

l l		
2		PAGE
3	City of East Chicago Opening Comments	5
4	Presentation by Showboat Marina Partnership	8
5	Presentation by the City of East Chicago	53
6	Question and Answer Session with the City of	0.1
7	East Chicago and Showboat Marina Partnership	81
8		
9		
10	·	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	·	
24		
25		

## BEFORE THE INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION OPENING COMMENTS BY THE CITY OF EAST CHICAGO

October 19, 1995

Commencing at 9:00 a.m.

MR. KLINEMAN: I think we'll try to come to order, and I'll try to speak into the microphone from now on. This light was on, and I thought it was some kind of a microphone. Great start for the day.

First, let me say that the Gaming Commission is pleased to be here in East Chicago. We've looked forward to this day for some time, probably not quite as long as you people have. But we've made it a practice to go out when we've had these hearings to the locations where the licenses are going to be placed so we can get a feel for the community and so we can give people an opportunity to see their government in action and to express their feelings. So that's why we're here today in East Chicago, and we're pleased to be here. We had a nice tour. The Mayor conducted us on a tour of the marina on Monday, so we got a good feel for what the ground looks like and so forth. And by the way, it's a beautiful facility, and it seems to me you people have a really nice city here.

And with that, we'll start the meeting. And I think Mayor Pastrick has some remarks for us, so we welcome him to the Commission. He and I only go back about 40 or 45 years, so it's really a pleasure to be here, Bob, and I'm glad you could be with us today.

MAYOR PASTRICK: I can't tell you what a pleasure it is to have you here today. Good morning, ladies and

gentlemen. If I may, on behalf of the City of East Chicago and the people of East Chicago, I want to express my gratitude to the Chairman, Chairman Klineman, to the honorable members of the Gaming Commission, to Jack Thar and his staff, who we've had much contact with over the past year or so. And on behalf of all of us, we thank you for your presence. We thank you that we're finally getting this underway. It's on-again off-again, incidentally; but nevertheless, we're here this morning, and I'm very pleased for that.

We are going to have an audiovisual aid that we're putting on at this moment, and we'd like for you to witness

We are going to have an audiovisual aid that we're putting on at this moment, and we'd like for you to witnes that before we proceed any further. There will be some pamphlets handed out to you as the audiovisual is completed, and then we'll proceed from there. Again, my humble thanks to each and every one of you.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you, Mayor.

(Video presentation was shown at this time.)

## BEFORE THE INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION PRESENTATION BY SHOWBOAT MARINA PARTNERSHIP

October 19, 1995

Commencing at 9:15 a.m.

MR. KLINEMAN: We're ready now, I guess, for the presentation from the Showboat Marina Partnership. Mr.

3 | Pannos?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PANNOS: Good morning, Chairman Klineman.

MR. KLINEMAN: It's now a quarter after 9:00, and we'll recognize you for at least an hour -- or for an hour. I shouldn't say at least. Make it shorter, and we'll love it.

MR. PANNOS: Good morning, members of the Indiana Gaming Commission, Executive Director Thar, and Mayor I am Michael Pannos, President of Waterfront Pastrick. Entertainment Development, the local company that is partners with Showboat to submit a gaming license application for a riverboat in East Chicago. I have lived all of my adult life in Indiana and most of it in northwest Indiana, where I practiced law for sixteen years. had the good fortune to be actively involved in Indiana politics on the local, state, and national level. As a result, I have developed a sensitivity for the people of this State. I am particularly sensitive to the needs and problems of northwest Indiana. It is, after all, the birthplace of my four children. Lake County is my family's home and future.

The Showboat Marina Partnership is pleased to stand before you today with a proposal that is distinctive in

several respects. It features one of the most innovative and comprehensive economic development programs in the entire U.S. riverboat industry. Our boat has 2,500 gaming positions, twice the number of any boat operating in northern Illinois, allowing us to fully capture a huge untapped gaming market. It includes a gaming company, Showboat, Incorporated, with financial resources and know-how gained during 40 years in business to ensure that economic development commitments will be met. It includes a local component, Waterfront Entertainment Development, with a unique understanding of local needs and an abiding interest in this community.

When Tom Cappas and I founded Waterfront right after the law was passed to operating gaming in Indiana, we held fast to the conviction that if northwest Indiana and East Chicago were to fully have the benefits contemplated by this law for the long-term, two things had to happen:

First, we had to have a say in the design and development of this project, not merely as limited partners, but as general partners with true Indiana ownership and true Indiana say as to what goes on. We had to be active hands-on participants, not merely silent investors. As members of our community sensitive to its needs and problems, we could not stand by and watch an out-of-state operator come in, reap the profits, and export the profits

to another state. And two, we had to find a gaming partner long in gaming industry experience, financially strong, with sound ethics, with a track record of success, and a company receptive to generating long-term community renewal and development appropriate for East Chicago.

We contacted as many gaming companies as we could. We were looking for the one whose strength and stature in the industry, combined with our understanding of local needs, would form a strong enough group to knock the socks off any other entity that would compete against us for an East Chicago license.

With respect to our first condition, having a say in the process, we are very proud of the team that we have put together for Waterfront, and we are just as proud of the impact that we've had in shaping the East Chicago project and this license application. Each shareholder on our side of the partnership has something unique to offer to this project and a particular function to fulfill. I'd like to introduce them to you. Tom Cappas, who is my fellow managing director. George Pabey, who with years of experience on the East Chicago Police Force, including some as Chief, will head security on the project. Bob Hoggs, who had a quarter of a century of public service to handle communications with the City, particularly regarding infrastructure needs. Louis Gonzalez, long active in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

community activities and organizations, to help us with community relations. John Flores, who is the widely respected principal of Central High School, to help facilitate educational programs developed and funded from gaming revenues. George Novogroder; George is a local Indiana developer who has built hundreds of apartment units and commercial retail units in northwest Indiana. expertise will be invaluable in our non-gaming development. Mikos Kefalidis, who is Chairman of the Board of Beta Steel in the Port of Indiana. He oversaw the construction of that mill. He also has impressive credentials in both residential and commercial development. His company, KLM Construction, has been chosen by the partnership to be the construction manager for this project. Barry Porter, who has been actively involved in exploring and evaluating various financing alternatives for the partnership. Barry is a former Senior Managing Director at Bear Stearns. ran his gaming industry group for the last year of his seven year tenure there. Among the other transactions, he worked on raising over 1 billion dollars for the MGM Grand. The diversity of our local partners and our employees will help us achieve not only our affirmative action plan and contracting goals, but the rejuvenation of East Chicago.

Tom Cappas and I have labored long and hard for more than two years to come to this point. Alongside of Tom

Bonner of Showboat, we have spent countless hours in meetings and conferences with investment bankers, designers, attorneys, architects, engineers, suppliers, city officials, vendors. Alongside Showboat, we have been actively involved in exploring and evaluating shipbuilding options, negotiating commitments, shaping the project in our application for a license. Collectively, the Showboat Marina team has had frequent communications with all facets of the community to structure a proposal that truly makes sense for East Chicago.

Our philosophy is to use as many local people as possible. For example, if you look down the roster of who will work on this project, one of the first persons that you'll find that we hired was Ed Williams. Ed served as the Chief Executive Officer of Lakeshore Employment with a stellar record of community involvement. He will be our Director of Training and Development. If you want a job at Showboat Marina, the person you see is a lifelong resident of East Chicago. Ed Williams has the skill, training, and experience to get the job done. Better yet, he is a member of this community who cares deeply about this community.

With respect to our gaming industry partner,
Showboat's standing in the industry, its financial
strength, and its history of success are a matter of
record. Tom Bonner and others that he might call upon

today can address those issues. I will say this to you, however: We approached and were approached by a number of other potential partners. Some even offered terms to us that were more lucrative and more attractive. We opted instead to partner with Showboat because Showboat enabled us to put together the very strongest possible project to make sure that our hopes for East Chicago would be realized. None of the other gaming companies was as receptive as Showboat to the concept of committing to a plan of investment in long-term community renewal.

As I believe you'll see in here today from our presentation, this project is all about economic renewal and revitalization, leadership from the community in drawing up the economic development program, community-wide economic benefits sustainable over the long-term, and unique in the State of Indiana, an Indiana based investment, a gaming industry partner that is financially strong with a successful track record of meeting consumer demand over 40 years in the business, a vast untapped market where consumer demand will far exceed supply for years to come, proven experience of our gaming partner in drawing a high volume of customers in competitive markets, and an industry know-how to generate the revenues that will drive our community renewal program. We are convinced that this program is something we can all be proud of. We think

it's a project the State of Indiana can be proud of, and we hope that you as Commissioners will agree.

On that note, I would like to introduce our partner,
Tom Bonner, who is Chief Executive Officer of Showboat
Marina Partnership, who will lead our presentation to the
Commission. Thank you.

MR. BONNER: Thank you, Mike. Good morning,
Mayor Pastrick, members of the City Council, Chairman
Klineman, Commissioners, Executive Director Thar, ladies
and gentlemen. I'm very pleased to have this opportunity
to appear before you today to talk about this exciting
project for my company, Showboat, and for the people of
East Chicago. I guess given the events of the last couple
days in Court, I'm happier than I thought I would ever be
to be here to make this presentation to you. And I'd also
like to extend my personal welcome to East Chicago and to
all of you.

As Mike indicated, my name is Tom Bonner. I'm

President and CEO of Showboat Marina Casino based here in

East Chicago. For the past two years, it has been my job

to get this project started and going forward, to

personally see it through to completion and to oversee

operations, if we're given that opportunity by the

Commission. I'm extremely proud to be associated with

Showboat. I've been with Showboat for eleven years,

serving for nine of those years as general counsel. During those nine years, I was responsible for the initial licensing of the Atlantic City project and for the ongoing gaming license renewals. I managed regulatory compliance with the New Jersey Casino Control Commission and with the Division of Gaming Enforcement. I understand the regulatory process, I'm sensitive to it, and I respect it.

Showboat has a proven track record of success and sound management, with over more than 40 years in the gaming business. And I believe that our long tradition and success in the industry and our understanding of the marketplace sets us apart from other applicants. You don't achieve success in this or any other business without having a collaborative effort among dedicated, talented, and highly qualified people.

I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce my colleagues for this presentation. Kell Houssels, President and CEO of Showboat, Incorporated. Mike Higbee is President of Development Concepts in Indianapolis, one of the State's foremost economic development experts. Steve Puccinelli is Managing Director of Donaldson, Lufkin, and Jenrette Securities Corporation based in New York. DLJ is one of the top investment banking firms in the country and probably has the most experience in gaming industry financing. Showboat has a long-standing relationship with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd also like to take this opportunity to introduce DLJ. and acknowledge Mark Miller, Executive Vice-President of Operations for Showboat, to whom I and the CEO's of our other properties in Las Vegas and Atlantic City report. Also on hand today are others from Showboat and our technical experts who are available to assist in answering your questions during the question and answer session. eight members of the Showboat management team that we have assembled for this project have combined experience of 86 years in the gaming business and have personally been involved in the opening of seven new properties. I'd also like to take this opportunity to recognize two people who have played a vital role in preparing for our presentation today: Terry Mumford of Ice, Miller, Donadio and Ryan, is our lead counsel and has been instrumental in our communications with the Commission and its staff; and Ann St. Germaine, with the public affairs firm of Jacobs and Termin (phonetic), has really taken a lead in preparing for our presentation today.

You've already received briefing books which recap the highlights of our presentation and contain some additional information about the project and the people involved in it. Our presentation to you today is in five parts.

First, I'll talk briefly about Showboat and our 40 years of experience. Second, Mike Pannos will return with an

overview of our economic development program. Third, Mike Higbee will provide you with more details on the economic development program, which we believe is the most innovative riverboat economic development program in the State. Fourth, I'll return to discuss the physical aspects of the project, as well as the marketing, financing, revenue projections; and I'll be assisted in this phase by Steve Puccinelli of DLJ. And finally, Kell Houssels will close with a few words about Showboat's corporate philosophy and its commitment to this project and to the people of East Chicago.

First then, a little background on Showboat,
Incorporated. We celebrated our 40th year in gaming last
year, an anniversary we noted with great pride on the cover
of our 1994 annual report. That was a long time ago back
in 1954. As we say in our annual report, Clark Gable was
the reigning movie king, Casey Stengel was managing the New
York Yankees, Ike was in the White House when the Desert
Showboat Motor Hotel opened on Boulder Highway two miles
east of downtown. It seemed a little odd to have a
Mississippi style riverboat plunked down in the middle of
the desert, but tourists and locals alike flocked to the
property, the genesis of what has become today the gaming
company known as Showboat, Incorporated. In Las Vegas, in
addition to serving the traditional tourist market, we

pioneered the neighborhood casino concept. That's about providing a quality gaming environment for residents of the area. That local market is now one of the fastest growing segments in the Las Vegas market.

In 1973, Showboats's common stock was listed on the American Stock Exchange, enhancing the stature and visibility of the company. And then in 1983, Showboat was listed on the New York Stock Exchange, bringing greater prestige and increased investor interest in the company's common stock. The first step in what has now become a successful expansion story for the company in both the United States and abroad occurred in March, 1987 when Showboat opened its 245 million dollar property in Atlantic City.

We also enjoy an excellent record of regulatory compliance at our properties. During our most recent license renewal hearing in Atlantic City last January, we were publicly commended by the Commission's Vice-Chairperson for our positive contributions to improving the quality of life in Atlantic City. That renewed stamp of approval from New Jersey regulators came just one month after one of the most exciting developments in our 40-year history, winning a license from the New South Wales Casino Control Authority to own and operate an 800 million dollar land-based casino complex in Sydney,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Australia. We are extremely proud of this accomplishment, especially since our competition had included other companies in the top echelon of the gaming business, Mirage and Circus Circus. We are currently operating a temporary facility in Sydney, and we are now building a permanent casino and entertainment center, which we expect to open in 1998. We've had an extremely smooth introduction to Australia and have enjoyed an excellent working relationship with the gaming regulators in New South Wales.

Showboat also is a company with excellent operating performance and considerable financial resources. consolidated operating income more than doubled from 1988 to 1994, increasing from 39 million dollars to 80 million dollars. We had record total revenues of 401 million dollars in 1994 and record net income of 15.7 million dollars in 1994. Gaming revenues are up 19 percent at our flagship Atlantic City property over the first six months of this year, compared to the same period in 1994. puts us number one in revenue growth among the twelve Atlantic City properties. Turning to our financial resources, our cash on hand was 110 million dollars on June 30 of this year, and we have total assets of 622 million dollars. We have raised more than 723 million dollars in public markets over the last eleven years, including stock and debt offerings, and we've made each and every payment

to our bond holders on time and in full.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our success is based on knowing what the customer wants and providing that experience. After 40 years of operating casinos, we know full well that in order to stay ahead of the learning curve, we need to listen to our And we listen well, as demonstrated by our customers. facility being named most popular casino in Atlantic City for the past two years in a New Jersey news media survey. This success flows directly from adherence to our corporate vision statement. Showboat's objective is to provide shareholders with a superior return on their investment, to provide customers with an outstanding entertainment experience at our casinos, to provide employees with a work environment in which they may reach their full potential, and to develop a harmonious relationship with the communities in which we operate.

So why have we chosen East Chicago? Our partnership with East Chicago fits in well with our corporate strategy of identifying standout expansion opportunities around the country and overseas. That expansion strategy is driven by three primary considerations: finding a market with strong demographic attributes and positive supply/demand characteristics; finding a market where there is a high probability that gaming will be legalized or where it already has been legalized; and finding a close community

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where we're welcome. East Chicago is a perfect fit on all three counts.

And our development plans fit in with the City of East Chicago's existing plan for Waterfront Development. facility and the improvements we plan reflect the City's own master plan for lakefront development. Touching very briefly now on the major components of our project before moving into the economic development benefits, this is a 156 million dollar investment, including 140 million dollars in project costs and 16 million dollars in local economic incentives. We are also providing 14 million dollars for contingencies, and consequently will be securing financing for a 170 million dollar project. components of our investment include the boat itself, the land side pavilion, marina, breakwater and beach improvements, traffic improvements, parking facilities, and the economic incentives. I'll come back to the project components a little later.

But first I'd like to ask our partner, Mike Pannos, to provide a strategic overview of what represents the most significant aspect of this project from the public policy standpoint, the local economic benefits. Mike?

MR. PANNOS: Thank you, Tom. In a moment, I'm going to ask Mike Higbee of Development Concepts to give you more details on this, inasmuch as he is the expert on

this and helped us shape this program. But I'd like to take just a moment to tell you that we believe this economic incentive plan will be the most effective, high-impact, economic development plan of any riverboat in Indiana. Our plan is distinctive in at least three key features. One, it's based entirely on what the community told us and said it wanted and provides for community control of the benefits for years to come. It is designed to produce both short and long-term benefits and to leverage additional investment in the community. Three, its impact extends throughout the community, not just the lakefront, and it touches all aspects of community life.

Just as Showboat's success has been predicated on listening to its customers over the years, the Showboat Marina Partnership listened to the residents and leaders of East Chicago. And in this case, we listened at more than two dozen meetings of the Mayor's task force on gaming to hear how the people of East Chicago wanted this riverboat project to benefit their community.

There are three primary features of the economic benefits plan: First, fixed contributions totaling 16 million dollars for a variety of community projects, ongoing annual contributions of 3.75 percent of the adjusted gross gaming revenues, and the establishment of new institutions that can leverage those contributions to

distribute maximum economic benefits throughout the community. The economic development strategy is not confined to the lakefront and businesses that relate only to the casino project. The benefits will flow throughout East Chicago, spurring community redevelopment in all sectors and for the long term. It's an approach that has been singled out for praise by one of the most respected organizations involved in economic development, the

Washington based Council on Urban Economic Development.

We've already demonstrated our commitment, having spent more than 8 million dollars to date in project costs, including engineering, architectural, and site development expenses. We've already contributed about 1 million dollars for a variety of community development initiatives selected by the leaders and residents of East Chicago, including resurfacing Little League fields, purchasing new police cars and a graffiti blaster, demolishing condemned buildings that have been a blight on the community, repairing sidewalks and improving landscaping around the City, and providing college scholarships for deserving East Chicago High School students.

Our partners in Showboat have made a commitment to the community personally as well. The members of the Showboat lead management team have all established residence here in northwest Indiana so they can help move this project along

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as quickly as possible should we receive, as we hope, a

Certificate of Suitability. We were able to provide these

comprehensive economic development benefits because of the

strength of this market. Tom Bonner will have more to say

about that when he outlines our market assessment.

The single most important economic benefit is jobs, and this project will provide more than 2,000 new jobs upon opening. A job is more than an income. It represents family and community stability, all part of community renewal we're talking about here today. East Chicago residents will have first preference for those jobs, and Showboat has already demonstrated its commitment to equal employment opportunity. We will provide free job training for qualified East Chicago residents and competitive wages and benefits. Our partners at Showboat have made a strong commitment to employee education and development. have a 100 percent tuition reimbursement program for qualified personnel and an on-site learning center for employees, such as the Atlantic City learning center you see on the screen (indicating). We want the people of East Chicago to get these jobs. That's why we've already held job fairs to build public awareness of the opportunities down the road.

Another kind of economic development is the opportunity for local businesses to provide products and

services for a project of this magnitude. Our purchasing policy favors East Chicago companies, Lake County companies, and Indiana companies, in that order. We have already held two vendor fairs to let the area businesses learn how best to prepare for this opportunity. We are also committed to creating opportunities for minority businesses and to have programs to make sure that happens.

In order to ensure that we accomplish these goals, we wanted the best available expertise, so we went out and got it, retaining the services of Mike Higbee, who, as Tom said, is one of the premier economic development experts in the State. Mike will now give you a detailed explanation of our development plans and the impact on East Chicago.

MR. HIGBEE: Good morning. Thank you, Mike. As Mike indicated, my name is Mike Higbee. I'm with Development Concepts. And I believe we have a package here that will touch all four corners of the community of East Chicago. As the woman said in the video, it will change the face of this community. It is a package that over a five-year period will stimulate over 535 million dollars of investment in the local community. You take a conservative multiplier effect, and that impact can exceed over a billion dollars over a five-year period as far as economic activity. It will create thousands of jobs, develop hundreds of units of new housing and thousands of square

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

feet of new commercial and business space. It is designed to meet very real needs that this community has right now. It will strengthen the community and form partnerships that currently are not in place. It will create a strong economic momentum to negate the impact of the job losses that this community has experienced over the past three decades.

I was asked to participate in helping design this package, I believe, because of my background in economic I have a strong interest in urban economic development. development as well as rural economic development. firm was formed in January of 1992. We have had extensive involvement up in northwest Indiana in the cities of Hammond, East Chicago, and Gary. During this period, we have formed two new community development corporations in northwest Indiana. We have participated in a city-wide economic development strategy in the City of Hammond. have written grants which have brought in millions of dollars of federal money for economic development activities in the area, as well as participated in helping put together the economic development strategy for the Gary Regional Airport.

My prior life before getting into the private sector was serving as the Director of Metropolitan Development in the City of Indianapolis during the decade of the 80's. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

participated in putting together the Circle City Mall project in Indianapolis as well as the United Airlines maintenance facility on behalf of the City of Indianapolis, working very closely with the State of Indiana.

The Showboat package has three primary components that will, I believe, have a significant impact on the local economy. First is construction and operations. construction activity that will benefit the local community will exceed 51 million dollars. As you can see in the graphic (indicating), the total construction activity is 156 million dollars, 51 of which will impact on the local economy. This will come in the form of local contractors that will work at the site, vendors which will provide materials and supplies for the construction activity, as well as local workers that will actually construct the facility. Operations in the first year alone will exceed 84 million dollars. 61 million of that amount will benefit, again, the local economy, primarily through vendor and payroll activities. You can take these numbers, and for every dollar of Showboat Marina investment and construction activity, you can expect a local counter-effect of, conservatively speaking, another dollar to 2 dollars; so you can expect to double the impact on the local economy just from the construction activity alone.

Perhaps construction and operations really isn't a

unique feature of this package. I'm sure you've talked to other applicants around the State. They all have construction activity. They all will be operating a facility. And I'm sure they've targeted these dollars to the local economy wherever possible. I think what is unique about the Showboat Marina package, however, are the elements of the one-time contributions, which you've already heard addressed and I will speak briefly to; and more importantly, the ongoing incentive payments and how they are channeled back into the community to have a long-lasting, sustainable economic impact on the community.

But first, let's talk about the one-time contributions. You've already heard about the Mayor's task force for gaming, and I believe John Artis will speak about this task force in more detail later in the presentation. But this task force did identify priority needs in the community. They said that these are needs that are here now. They cannot possibly be met with existing resources. Showboat Marina worked with the City of Chicago -- East Chicago, excuse me, to determine how best to meet these needs. They put a 16 million dollar incentive package together, one-time contributions designed to meet these needs starting yesterday. I believe you saw some of the police cars that were out in front that were donated by Showboat Marina Partnership dollars. You can see by this

graphic (indicating) how these moneys will be spent and how they are being spent.

I believe the most unique component, and the one that is responsible for 34 percent of the 16 million dollar contribution, is the home buyers assistance program. It is designed to stimulate significant new housing activity in the East Chicago housing marketplace. I believe it will renovate existing housing stock. It will cause new housing construction, something that's desperately needed. If you look at the last three years of new construction activity in East Chicago, there have only been six permits pulled for new single family housing construction in three years. It will encourage a greater variety of choice for families wishing to move into the East Chicago marketplace; something that, again, is desperately needed.

Two programs have been designed to accomplish these objectives. First, a down payment assistance program. You can see on the graphic again (indicating) that Showboat employees which are first time home buyers in East Chicago will be eligible for a 5 percent down payment on their home purchase. This will make homes more readily available for people who otherwise might not qualify for homes to buy in the East Chicago housing market. The down payment assistance program will be very important. Another program is a mortgage quarantee program. Anyone wishing to buy a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

home in East Chicago can qualify for a quarantee of up to 25 percent of the purchase price of an East Chicago home. Again, this program is designed to make loans more readily available to those wishing to purchase a home in East It is expected -- I should back up here. down payment assistance program will be capitalized by Showboat Marina Partnership at a level of 500,000 dollars. The mortgage guarantee program will be capitalized at a level of 5 million dollars. It is expected that over a three- to five-year period that these two programs will generate home purchases in the East Chicago market of 350 to 500 homes, again within a three- to five-year period. This will result in a 35 million dollar home purchase activity during the same period. These are significant programs that will have a very measurable impact in East Chicago.

But I believe the most unique element of the Showboat
Marina economic development package will be the ongoing
incentives; and not only are they incentives that will be
pumped into the economy of East Chicago, but they have been
designed to have maximum impact. I really think that's the
most important statement that I'm here to make before you
today. Showboat Marina will pay 3.75 percent, as you've
heard, of the adjusted gross gaming revenues for specific
community economic development activities. This program

has taken 18 months of planning. We have been very involved in helping put this package together with the Showboat Marina Partnership as well as the City of East Chicago. When it's implemented, it will develop capacity at the community level to accomplish development objectives that the community has, as again put together by the task force. It will also promote adequate and comprehensive resources provided first by Showboat Marina, but then using those resources to leverage additional dollars to accomplish the development objectives.

And I'd like to show you how this money is going to be channeled into the community. First, the City of East Chicago will receive 1 percent of the adjusted gross gaming revenues. And as you would expect, these dollars will be spent on very conventional services: infrastructure investment, public safety, services with youth and elderly. These are services right now that there's much more demand than there are resources available to meet these needs. The City of East Chicago will receive approximately 9.5 million dollars over a five-year period to enhance the delivery of these services.

Another 1 percent will go to a new not-for-profit corporation by the name of Twin City Education Foundation.

Again, 9.5 million dollars over a five-year period. This money will be used to support scholarships and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

post-secondary training for those graduates of East Chicago High School. This not-for-profit will be challenged to leverage these moneys, for every dollar of Showboat Marina money coming in, to leverage another 3 dollars, for additional investment of 28.5 million dollars. This 28.5 million dollars will be leveraged from foundations, charitable foundations, private contributions, and federal grants. We believe this 3 to 1 leveraging ratio is very conservative and very attainable.

Another not-for-profit organization will be formed. It will go by the name of the East Chicago Community Foundation. It is going to be modeled after successful community development corporations that have been formed throughout the country. These community development corporations are changing the face of neighborhoods throughout the country. Some operate not so well, mainly because of budgetary reasons. Others operate very successfully in the neighborhoods. This foundation will have a professional staff. It will understand financing. It will understand development. And it will understand how to work with neighborhood leaders to accomplish development in your neighborhoods. It will work with other not-for-profits in East Chicago, again to make development This not-for-profit will, again, receive 1 percent happen. of the adjusted gross gaming revenues, 9.5 million dollars

over a five-year period. It will be challenged to leverage these dollars five times over. So for every dollar coming into the East Chicago Community Foundation, another 5 dollars will be leveraged. This means another 47 and a half million dollars coming into the community for development purposes. Again, I believe Mr. Artis will talk in a bit more detail about this program later in the presentation.

A for-profit development corporation will also be established. It will receive .75 percent of the adjusted gross gaming revenues. It will go by the name of East Chicago Second Century, Inc. In the first full year of operation, it will receive 1.8 million dollars of Showboat Marina investment. In the first five years, 7.1 million dollars. It will be challenged to leverage these dollars eight times over. This means it will receive 7.5 million dollars of Showboat Marina money in the first five years, and it will leverage another 60 million dollars to be used for development purposes in the City of East Chicago.

(Mr. Thar left the

proceedings at this time.)

My experience has been that as you work in communities that not-for-profit capacity is terribly important in making development happen. But if they don't have for-profit developments as a partner, in many cases,

financial institutions, the equity investors, are a little bit reluctant to make an investment in a community that has had some problems with its economy. This for-profit development corporation should address that issue. It will have partners that have been through the work, understand how to make development work, and can partner with not-for-profit corporations to make development happen.

As was mentioned already, the Washington based Council for Urban Economic Development has taken a look at this plan. And they have not endorsed Showboat, but they have endorsed the economic development package that they've worked so hard to put together. They believe it is a trend-setting package. It is one that is unique as far as gaming operations around the country. And I believe it shows a commitment that Showboat and I think CUED is endorsing. It really shows the commitment that Showboat has to not only impact on the area around the gaming facility, but to impact around the area of the entire East Chicago community.

Finally, this investment will result in over 35 million dollars being injected directly into these four entities. Over a five-year period, it will leverage another 134 million, for a total impact of 170 million dollars. I'm happy to say to you today that I believe in this package. I think it can make a significant

difference. It will show physical development activity within the first five years that will be very significant.

I'm also proud to say that I think Showboat is very committed to this package and will see it through to a successful completion. Thank you very much.

MR. BONNER: Thank you, Mike and Mike. Moving now to the project timetable, we're aiming for an opening in the fourth quarter of 1996. That's admittedly an aggressive schedule, and it depends on everything falling into place just exactly as we hope it would. We're working hard to meet that deadline. We have the financing plan in place. We have a preliminary vessel designed that is now out for bid, and we have a preconstruction contract. But we'll need a timely decision from the Army Corp of Engineers, which is still reviewing our Waterfront Development application, and a timely disposition of our request from this Commission for a Certificate of Suitability. But to give you a sense of the timetable, we estimate that we can be up and running about twelve months after issuance of the Army Corp permit.

Let's take a look at the proposed site for the project, the Robert A. Pastrick Marina at Jeorse Park in East Chicago, which you had the opportunity to inspect on Monday evening. On the screen is an aerial view of the site, and now here's a planned view of the riverboat

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

development site (indicating). And you'll see the various components; the vessel itself, the pavilion, the new breakwater and marina, new beach, new Cline Avenue off-ramp, and the parking areas (indicating). Let's take a look at these components individually.

The East Chicago Showboat is a modern casino boat housing gaming and support functions. The boat is being designed by Guido Perla and Associates of Seattle, one of the nation's leading naval architects and marine engineers. GPA is a pioneer in the design and construction of riverboat casinos. It has designed more than a dozen riverboat casinos currently operating, including The Grand Victoria in Elgin, Illinois, an industry leader in the northern Illinois market. Among the features of the 41 million dollar East Chicago Showboat are: It's 130 -sorry; 380 feet long, with a capacity of 4,250 persons, which includes passengers and crew. It has three gaming decks and a total of 2,500 gaming positions, double the number of positions on the boats operating in Illinois. It also has a 3,400 square foot non-gaming lounge area for those who want to get away from the casino activity for a different kind of relaxation. The vessel is designed to cruise the open waters of Lake Michigan with maximum safety and customer comfort, and it will be accessible to persons with disabilities under the terms of the Americans with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Disabilities Act. The main land-based support facility for the boat will be a pavilion of roughly 95,000 square feet, which is slated to include the customary favored amenities for customer convenience, food and beverage and associated facilities.

One of the most exciting aspects of the project is the ongoing redevelopment of the East Chicago lakefront. We're making a substantial investment in permanent site improvements that follow through on the City's lakefront These improvements will produce a multi-use master plan. marina with exceptional access for the public. A key feature of these waterfront improvements is the new breakwater, which creates not only a sheltered harbor for the riverboat, but also space for new recreational boat One of the most popular recreational sports in this area is fishing, and this new breakwater will allow people to walk out into the harbor and take advantage of deep water fishing opportunities they otherwise would have only by boat. The improvements also include a new landscaped beach with new bathhouse and improved public access and parking.

Now, these improvements must be approved by the Army
Corp, as I stated previously. We resubmitted our
application, responding to the issues raised by the Corp in
our first application, and a comment period on the revised

application has now closed. We are optimistic that we will be able to resolve this matter soon with the Army Corp.

Now, once the customers get on site, we want to make sure that the experience of convenience continues. We'll have 2,800 well lit and secure parking spaces, 500 of them in a garage and 2,300 provided on a surface lot. We will also provide valet parking. One of the outstanding features of this location is the ease of access from all directions, which we believe is one of the key marketing advantages of our site. The site is only 20 minutes from downtown Chicago. And no matter what direction you're coming from, major expressways take you right to the site. To help handle the volume of anticipated traffic, a new Cline Avenue off-ramp is planned.

Let's turn now to the financing revenues and market assessment for the project. The financing plan has four primary components: 35 million dollars in partnership contributions, 15 million dollars in equipment lease financing, issuance of up to 120 million dollars in first mortgage notes secured by the riverboat project, including related structures, fixtures, and equipment, and a completion guarantee from Showboat, Incorporated.

We are continuing our eleven-year tradition of working with Donaldson, Lufkin, and Jenrette on financing. And I'd like to ask Steve Puccinelli of DLJ to briefly outline the

financing plan for you. Steve?

MR. PUCCINELLI: Thank you, Tom. Good morning.

As Tom mentioned, I am Steve Puccinelli, and I am the

Managing Director of the New York investment bank of

Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette, specializing in the raising

of both debt and equity capital for both industrial and

gaming companies here in the United States. I personally

have been working with Showboat for the past twelve years

as their primary investment banker.

As you can see on this slide (indicating), DLJ is the leader in the issuance of non-investment grade debt.

During the past twelve months, DLJ has completed 27 transactions, raising over 3.8 million dollars, over twice as many transactions as our nearest competitor. On the next slide (indicating), you can see that DLJ is the leader in construction type financing for the gaming industry. In the last two years, we have helped such companies as Harrah's, Grand Casino, Empress, and Trump Hotels raise over 2.1 billion dollars in non-investment grade debt.

On the next slide (indicating), you can see that DLJ has enjoyed a long and successful relationship with Showboat, Inc. Over the past twelve years, DLJ has raised over 700 million dollars for Showboat, including the construction financing for Showboat's Atlantic City casino and the financing for their investment in Sydney,

Australia. What's exciting is Showboat is one of the best names in the non-investment grade debt capital marketplace.

On the next slide (indicating), you can see that DLJ is embarking on its next financing for Showboat, a 120 million dollar first mortgage loan to construct their riverboat here in East Chicago. It's Showboat's equity investment of 35 million dollars; it's Showboat's 30 million dollar construction guarantee; it's Showboat's reputation in the debt capital marketplace; and maybe most importantly, it's Showboat's relationship with DLJ as one of our longest and best clients that's going to make this financing one of the most successful financings in January of 1996. Tom?

MR. BONNER: Thank you, Steve. I apologize for selling our relationship one year short. It's twelve years. Our revenue projections for the first full year of operation are as follows: Adjusted gross gaming revenues of more than 248 million dollars, more than 4.9 million admissions, a 50 dollar win per admission, and 100,000 dollars annual win per position. The activity at our proposed project translates into the following tax revenue projections for the first full year of operations: 45 million dollars to the State of Indiana, 26 million dollars to the City of East Chicago, and 5 million dollars for Lake County. Our revenue projections and the sizing of our boat

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are based on comprehensive and thoughtful analysis of our market, which we define as a 120-mile radius, with East Chicago as the nucleus. This is the third most populated area in the United States, with great untapped potential for gaming.

A key feature of our market assessment is a calculation we call adults per gaming position. This analysis allows us to determine the degree to which market demand is not met, using our experience in New Jersey as a basis for comparison. What this analysis shows is that there is currently much more demand than supply when compared to Atlantic City, a market where Showboat and the rest of the industry are doing quite well. You'll note on the bar graph (indicating) that there are currently 2,000 adults per gaming position in the East Chicago market, compared to 498 in New Jersey. Even when the Gary, Hammond, and East Chicago boats are all operational, there will still be a better adult to gaming position ratio than Atlantic City has: 781 as compared to 498. What this analysis shows is that even with all Lake County boats on line, there will still be more unmet demand here than we have in Atlantic City today. We have considerable market analysis data and will be happy to share more of it with you during the question and answer session.

So in our opinion, there is no question that this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

market is undersupplied. But how do we make sure that this great undersupplied market finds its way to our East Chicago property? We do that in three ways. First, when it comes to marketing, we say that the best predictor of behavior is behavior. The fact of the matter is that customers turn out in droves at our facilities in Atlantic City and Las Vegas and now Sydney. Commissioners, we've done it, and we've done it in the most competitive gaming markets in the world, Las Vegas and Atlantic City, over a period of 40 years. We plan to build on those successes here in Indiana. Second, playing a big role in our marketing will be the fact that we will have the product variety and quality here in East Chicago to attract the customers we're talking about. And third, we're going to invest significant dollars in both pre-opening and post-opening marketing activities.

Showboat opened its Las Vegas casino in 1954. We identified many challenges in the growing Las Vegas market and pioneered that local casino concept, the first casino to identify and create a market for the local Las Vegas residents. This market niche is an important segment of the gaming market today, one of the fastest growing market segments in Las Vegas. We opened the Atlantic City Showboat in 1987, the eleventh casino to open in what is now a twelve casino city and what is arguably the most

competitive gaming jurisdiction in the world. We analyzed the opportunities there just as we did in Las Vegas and identified the underserviced yet highly profitable 25 cent slot market.

(Mr. Thar rejoined the proceedings at this time.)

We are currently the market leader, consistently ranking first or second in quarter slot revenue, the largest and most profitable segment in Atlantic City, equal to the entire table games market. And on a broader performance level, the Atlantic City Showboat is currently ranked fourth in gaming revenues for 1995 year to date of the twelve Atlantic City casinos.

We have a tradition of high volume business, which we will continue here in East Chicago. Our vessel has doubled the gaming positions available on northern Illinois boats. This means that we can accommodate more passengers and more gaming activity. It means that we can offer more variety in games, a tremendous market plus. Our boat will be comfortable and will be easy to get to. These are all key components of marketing.

We have learned at Showboat over the years that our only chance of staying ahead on the learning curve is to listen to our customers. We have ongoing extensive market research and customer survey programs. These programs

include four basic components: research, planning, execution, and analysis. We'll be applying these programs here, and we'll also be investing significant dollars in marketing activities in the period leading up to opening and in the first year of operations, up to 1 and one half million dollars in pre-opening marketing and 30 million dollars in marketing during the first year of operations. These activities will include complimentary admissions, outdoor advertising, direct mail, sweepstakes campaigns to build our database, and advertising on television, radio, and in newspapers.

We'll provide an atmosphere of fun and excitement, as we've done at our other properties. Our formula for success is high volume, high payouts, and high customer satisfaction. It's worked successfully in Las Vegas. It's worked successfully in Atlantic City. And it's off to a great start in Australia, where business is booming in the first few weeks of operation at our temporary casino. And now this proven success will be put to work here in East Chicago.

Commissioners, I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to make this presentation. I hope I've been able to leave you with a feel for the capabilities and the know-how of Showboat, developed over 40 years in gaming, to generate the kind of economic development benefits intended

by the Indiana Gaming Legislation.

And now, for closing remarks, I'd like to turn it over to Kell Houssels, President and Chief Executive Officer of Showboat, Incorporated.

MR. HOUSSELS: Thank you, Tom. Good morning,
Chairman Klineman, Commissioners, Executive Director Thar,
ladies and gentlemen. My name is Kell Houssels. I'm the
President and Chief Executive Officer of Showboat, Inc. My
family has been involved with Showboat and associated with
Showboat since it was founded in 1954. Both my grandfather
and father were founding partners of that company back in
1954, and I'm proud to be the third generation of our
family to be associated with this company.

My role in today's presentation is to summarize what makes our company unique, really who we are, and to express my own personal commitment to this project and our company's commitment to this project. It seems to me that a person's uniqueness of character is really driven by the same kinds of things -- a company's uniqueness of character is driven by the same kinds of things that a person's uniqueness of character is driven by. And in my opinion, that's driven by the objectives that that company has, what it wants to achieve, and the principles upon which the company is based.

With the Showboat, our objective is to improve the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quality of life for everybody that is associated with the company. We call these people our constituents, and we've divided them, as Tom has already mentioned, into four primary groups: customers, employees, investors, and the communities in which we operate. For each constituent group, we have a well defined objective that really stems from our understanding of what the needs and wants of that group are. For our customers, we want to create a fun, exciting, hassle-free gaming and entertainment experience, so our goal is to create environments that have a positive emotional impact for our customers. I get enormous pleasure when I'm in the Atlantic City property and walking through the property and seeing people enter the property that have a big smile erupt on their face or emerge on their face as they see something in the environment that catches their fancy, be it the live jazz music, the antics of a street performer along our recreation of Bourbon Street, or just a friendly greeting from one of our employees.

Our employees, the second group that we're looking for -- or looking out for, want an enjoyable working environment where they have an opportunity to grow and develop. And we all spend a very large percentage of our time at work. Our view at the Showboat is: Why not make that working environment as enjoyable as possible? And by

the simple method of focusing people's attention on the possibility that it can be done, we've had enormous success in doing that at our existing properties.

And our investors simply want or most basically want a superior return on their investment. And then the communities in which we operate want harmonious relations with us where we were a contributing member to that community. And I believe we primarily contribute through the job programs that we have, the jobs that we provide, and the working environment and the culture that we've been able to create, which is a positive working culture where we emphasize that people do make a difference. By meeting these objectives, we're contributing to improving the quality of life for all of our constituents.

The second factor that makes Showboat unique is the principles that we embrace. My grandfather and father created, and many others actually created a company back in 1954 that was based on honesty and integrity; and the basic idea being that a man's word is his bond. When I began writing the formal vision statement for this company several years ago, I was looking to articulate principles that were broadly understood and broadly embraced and would be broadly embraced by our employees, and that when embraced and when brought to a conscious level would propel our company towards the achievement of our objectives.

And from this intention, the following three principles emerged: First of all, the win/win philosophy, and this is simply a conviction that transactions can be structured in a way where everyone involved in the transaction leaves the transaction better off than when they entered it. It relies on the foundation of honesty and integrity that my grandfather and father built into this company for its success and effectiveness. We've got to be able to honestly articulate what our objectives are in order for us to achieve the objective.

The idea that we can simultaneously achieve objectives for each one of our constituent groups really is an application of this win/win philosophy. And we found in applying it that not only can we simultaneously achieve these objectives, but there are enormous synergies in achieving those objectives. For instance, by creating a positive working environment, we have a much more positively emotionally charged work force, creating a much better customer experience, creating a more attractive property, which results in better shareholder returns and also creates a very positive effect on the community through the fact that people are really believing now and seeing that they do make a difference for those around them.

The second principle is the commitment to continuous

improvement. This is a conviction that no matter how well we're doing that we can do better. It's the Showboat's version of total quality management. And from this conviction and principle stems or flows our strong commitment to education and continuing development that Tom has touched upon in his presentation.

The final principle is the principle of freedom.

Freedom's a word that's always had enormous power for me, enormous emotional power. For me, it stems from a feeling that a person is doing what he or she really wants to do, and it's related to consciously feeling like you have a choice. Our goal is to continue to develop our company so that people really choose to be associated with us, as a place to have a good time for our customers, as a great place to work for our employees, as a good company to invest in for our investors, as a good company to have as a partner for our partners, and as a company that you want in your community.

But what makes us unique is not just having these objectives and principles; it's the thousands of people in the Showboat organization that bring these principles to life and propel us towards achieving our objectives. These people have created a positive culture based on these objectives and principles, and I'm proud to be associated with them. And I'm particularly proud to be associated

with the team here in East Chicago today who have left their homes, for the most part, in Atlantic City, have moved here to East Chicago, and made a very strong commitment to this community and this project in order to increase its probability of success.

Why is the Showboat committed to this project? As
Tom's already stated, we're committed because we believe
that through this project, we can achieve our objectives.
We believe that the strong demographics of the East Chicago
area, coupled with the easy access to the Chicago market,
will allow us to achieve our investor objectives. We
believe that our know-how and our desire will allow us to
achieve our customer and employee objectives. And thanks
to our partners, I think we have an opportunity to create a
working relationship with the City here of East Chicago
that will be a model for company/community relations in the
future.

From this belief stems our strong commitment to this project. I'm committed to the project. Showboat's committed to the project. And I hope that through this presentation that we've been able to convey some of our enthusiasm and commitment to this project to you; and that when all is said and done, you will want us here in East Chicago as much as we want to be here in East Chicago. Thank you very much.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. I think we will now take a break, a fifteen minute break. And we'll be back here about 10:30, and we'll start the Q and A portion of the presentation. Thank you.

(Break was taken at 10:20

a.m.)

-
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

## BEFORE THE INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION PRESENTATION BY THE CITY OF EAST CHICAGO

October 19, 1995
Commencing at 10:40 a.m.

MR. KLINEMAN: If we could come back to order, please. We are going to make a slight deviation from our previously announced and printed schedule. We have asked that the City of East Chicago make their presentation at this time before we go into the questions and answers of the developer. We'll then have an opportunity to have questions and answers of both the developer and the City at the same time. It might make it a little more effective, and we won't be redundant and ask the same questions of each of them maybe. And so that's what we're going to do at this time.

Also, I would like to announce that if any of the people who have asked for time to speak in the portion of our schedule which provides for public comments -- we're willing to stay here, you know, as long as it takes to hear the public. I guess I would make one request of those people who are in support of the project and the developer, that if you people could some way coordinate your activities so that we really don't hear a series of people who are in favor of the project. You know, we've heard what the developer has to say; and frankly, the number of people who will be in favor of it could just sign a petition and it would be part of our record and we would know that you were in favor of it and what organization you were with or what group you were representing, or if it's

an individual, who you are. And that would save us some time.

We've had a couple hectic days, as you can imagine. So we're willing to stay here as long — those people who are in opposition to it, we will hear those people under the schedule we've outlined. But those people who are in favor, I think maybe we would appreciate it, in the interest of time, maybe allowing this Commission to finish its work, if you would sort of coordinate your activities, and we would appreciate it.

So with that request -- and I may reiterate it,
because maybe they aren't all here, at a later time. But
with that, I would recognize the City of East Chicago for a
presentation for a half hour. Mayor?

MAYOR PASTRICK: Thank you very much, Chairman Klineman, members of the Commission, Executive Director, and staff. I thank you once again. Please allow me, if you will, to begin by introducing the members of the panel who will be making presentations on behalf of the City of East Chicago and explain their roles in today's proceedings. Gentlemen, the presenters, I would like you each to stand as I call your name. First, Mr. Jim Fife, who is Special Counsel to the City. Secondly, we'll hear from Mr. John Artis, Director of East Chicago Housing Authority and Redevelopment Department. And next is

Mr. Jim Cogan, the Assistant Superintendent for the School City of East Chicago and an at-large member of our City Council. These three representatives will discuss our application process and the formation of a community-wide task force designed to help maximize economic impact of the gaming development.

We will then hear from Mr. Rex Richards, President of the East Chicago Chamber of Commerce. Rex will provide us with a business perspective on the positive impact of the Showboat project on existing businesses as well as anticipated influence on future economic development. Our final presenter will be Mr. Mike LaWell, Senior Director of Public Affairs of LTV Steel, our community's second largest employer and taxpayer. Mike has come back to East Chicago today from LTV's corporate headquarters in Cleveland to share some personal thoughts on how Showboat will benefit this community.

Ours, as you all know -- you're all fellow Hoosiers -is a community which has grown as a result of industry,
which is evidenced by the fact of its 15 square mile area.
Nearly 75 percent is zoned for industrial use. Throughout
East Chicago's history, steel has been the backbone of that
industrial base, and we felt confident placing all our eggs
in our local economy in that one basket, the steel basket,
which was large enough and strong enough to accommodate

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everyone. Jobs were plentiful. Finding work was as simple as putting an application in at a local steel mill. was the heyday of our economy, and it was a time which went on for more than 70 years. But those times have changed. East Chicago is still fortunate to be the home of two of Indiana's five fully integrated steel mills, but those mills have been forced to downsize and improve their efficiency in order to compete in the global marketplace. We still have our steel basket and it is still quite strong, but it is not nearly as large as it once was and there is no longer room for everyone. And this industry, which once employed nearly 35,000 people of East Chicago, now provides jobs for fewer than 15,000. Nor Allied Industries that also provided upwards of 15,000 jobs, which many of them no longer exist. And of these jobs, they still continue to shrink, as evidenced by this -- just day before yesterday, Inland Steel cut a thousand more jobs.

As the jobs dried up, most people left to seek work elsewhere. Many neighborhoods deteriorated, and there was no economic support for development of new middle income homes to take up the slack. It has become abundantly clear that we must expand our economic base in order to provide for our people. We feel that gaming offers us that opportunity. And that opinion is reflected by our residents, who overwhelmingly supported a local referendum

on the issue of a gaming development with an approval rating of nearly 70 percent.

Once this consensus was reached among our residents, our goal was to find the best possible gaming developer to provide for the needs of East Chicago and its people. We believe we have found that developer in Showboat. In 24 years as the Mayor of the City of East Chicago and as a lifelong resident, I have seen good times and bad times. For the last ten years, I've nurtured a vision for a revitalized East Chicago with good paying jobs, improved housing, and entertainment and shopping outlets for our citizens. I believe the economic development package being proposed by Showboat, with input from our community, is one critical way to help bring that vision to fruition. I believe it is what is best for the future of the City of East Chicago and the people who call it home.

I thank you for this opportunity to address you. And now I'd like to call upon our first presenter, Mr. Jim Fife.

MR. FIFE: Thank you, Mayor. Good morning,
Chairman Klineman, honorable members of the Commission,
Executive Director Thar, ladies and gentlemen. My name is
Jim Fife, Special Counsel to the City of East Chicago. And
this morning, I will briefly outline the process followed
by the City in recommending a gaming operator.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After passage of the riverboat gaming legislation, no one was prepared as to what would occur next. We assessed the gaming laws, rules and regulations, and observed the actions of other communities. During this time, we received solicitations from approximately 17 different gaming operators, all expressing their interest in gaming operations in East Chicago and inquiring as to what was going to be our process of review and recommendation.

In the absence of State law or Commission rules and regulations to guide us, we initiated a process with which East Chicago was familiar: We created a task force. In early September of 1993, the Mayor appointed four members to this initial task force; myself as a representative of the civil city, Mr. John Artis, director of East Chicago's Housing and Redevelopment Department, Mr. Richard Gomez, Director of East Chicago's Park and Recreation District, and Mr. Jim Cogan, a member of the East Chicago Common Council and Assistant Superintendent of the East Chicago Public Schools. The charge to this task force was to develop a process of review and recommendation. The City's role in the gaming process was constantly evolving. were fully aware that the City does not issue a license. That is in the sole jurisdiction of the Commission. However, we are in the business of providing services and strengthening our community. It was our intent that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process and all future deliberations be designed to identify the strongest possible partner to help East Chicago achieve its goals and its master plan for the future.

We responded with the following process: First, I was selected as Chairman of the task force. I was the person who would receive all inquiries and disseminate information regarding gaming in East Chicago. Next, we would evaluate and review only those operators who were seriously considering East Chicago, that being those operators who had filed an application for licensing with the Commission. We would then base our evaluations upon review of each application submitted to the Commission, additional materials supplied by the applicants, on-site visits of current operations, if applicable, and interviews of executives, operations personnel, and employees. criteria used as a framework in these evaluations was established by the Mayor and included assessment of an applicant's financial ability, employment track record, local community involvement, and overall economic impact. The task force would then review and evaluate all serious applicants and would recommend or identify a short list of those we found to be qualified to do business with the City.

Finally, upon making our recommendation of those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

operators on the short list, this task force would then be expanded to include residents and representatives of business, civic, and union organizations. The expanded task force would then provide the input and guidance in determining the community's needs and desires in formulating an agreement and economic development package with a potential gaming operator.

The Commission then set September 15, 1993 as a deadline for interested operators to file an application for licensing with the Indiana Gaming Commission, thereby allowing for the required referendum in November. September 15, there were two applicants for East Chicago's license, and the task force then begun its work. contacted the two qualified applicants and told them of our committee's work, requested that they furnish us with additional information they wanted us to consider, and requested on-site visitation. At this time, Summit Casino indicated to me that they would be withdrawing their application. We then visited Showboat's Atlantic City operation. We toured their facility, met with the Chief Executive Officer and operations staff, and conducted spontaneous interviews with numerous employees. committee then made its findings and recommendations and prepared a report.

As indicated in our report, Showboat exceeded our

expectations. We found them to be a highly qualified operator, confident that they would fulfill whatever economic development package the City would put forth, address our employment needs, maintain the types of relations with employees, citizens, and the City that would be expected of them, and most importantly, have the financial ability and wherewithal to withstand competition and conduct a viable gaming operation for years to come. Our research, evaluations, and recommendations were reviewed by the East Chicago Common Council and resulted in a preliminary endorsement of Showboat Marina Partnership by them on October 4, 1993.

Let me conclude by saying that we are very satisfied with Showboat, their fine reputation, and our own process. Showboat has already contributed nearly 1 million dollars to the City of East Chicago, and we have what we believe is one of the best and unique economic development packages in the State. Thank you for the opportunity in allowing me to address you this morning.

And now I would like to introduce Mr. John Artis, who will discuss further our community's involvement in our process.

MR. ARTIS: Thank you, Jim. Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Executive Director Thar, and staff, as you've heard, I am the Director of Redevelopment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the City. I have been its director for more than 15 And in my professional capacity, I have experienced those good times and bad times in East Chicago that the Mayor alluded to in his remarks. Having lived in this community all of my life, I have personally experienced those good and bad times. My professional activities have been molded by my personal experience. I point that out to emphasize that I not only have a professional interest in the redevelopment of this community, but I have a very personal interest as well. For 15 years, I've worked with other city department heads and civic leaders on a variety of programs and projects to design and to rebuild this community. In that effort, I have met both success and failure. As a result, I think I know what will work and what will not work in developing this community. And I can tell you what will work. Programs and projects which are driven by the community typically work. Program development and implementation, coupled with an open community participation process, generally lead to success and redevelopment in this community. Anyone active in this community will support me on that assessment.

Now, today you've been hearing about the unique benefits Showboat brings East Chicago. I think at this time it's important to note that Showboat's package is in direct response to a community based participation process

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

established by the City of East Chicago. In February, 1994, Mayor Pastrick expanded the task force on gaming to include a broader representation of residents. Now, this is the City administration's method to ensure that residents would be involved in the negotiations with the gaming operator, residents who would in fact be the ones most affected by any programs designed to rebuild this community. Participation in the task force was open to all community members. Through direct outreach through more than 50 community groups, public advertising, and public announcements, specifically on the Mayor's weekly talk show on WJOB, we were successful in recruiting 80 members to participate in a task force, representing a complete cross-section of this community. The task force representation included civic, education, government, labor, and business leaders, as well as the general population, and even included some who were initially opposed to the gaming referendum.

To facilitate the task force process, we hired an independent consulting firm, Wendall, Campbell and Associates. Now, Wendall, Campbell and Associates was chosen because of its proven track record in driving these kinds of processes. They were also chosen because of their first-hand knowledge of the City of East Chicago. After analyzing short-term and long-term needs, the task force

identified four areas critical for redevelopment in their estimation: economic development, community development, education and training, safety, transportation and health. Subcommittees were formed to address each of these particular areas. After many weeks and long hours, this task force produced its report: Investing in people, a strategy for the future.

Now this report, Commissioners, is a set of priorities developed by the community, designed to direct future development in the City of East Chicago. This is the basis of our negotiations with Showboat. This is the basis of a partnership the City has forged with Showboat Marina Partnership. I'd like to give you some highlights from what resulted from this report. The following recommendations came from the community through the task force. I'll also explain how Showboat responded to those recommendations.

Recommendation: Expand the tax base by redeveloping Michigan Avenue. Now, this is the corridor to the lakefront, and it's almost deserted today. In response, Showboat has committed to setting aside 1 percent of its adjusted gross gaming revenues to create a for-profit development corporation by the name of East Chicago Second Century, Inc. And it is through this corporation that Showboat intends to facilitate development along the

Michigan Avenue corridor.

Recommendation: Develop new housing for moderate to middle income families. In response, Showboat Marina Partnership has committed through Second Century, Inc. to the development of single family homes on a vacant tract of land located in the City of East Chicago that at one time housed Washington High School.

Recommendation: Expand home ownership opportunities. In response, Showboat will create two home buyer programs, the mortgage guarantee program and the down payment assistance program you've heard about. It is anticipated that in the first three to five years, these programs will result in 350 to 500 home purchases, generating approximately 35 million dollars in housing activity, much needed housing activity in our community.

Recommendation: Establish a city wide foundation to ensure that much needed resources will be directed by the community throughout the entire city. In response, Showboat will contribute 1 percent of its adjusted gross gaming revenues to support a community foundation. In its first five years, this community foundation will see 9.5 million dollars in contributions, with an ability to leverage an additional 48 million dollars more, for a total funding base of 57 million dollars.

Recommendation: Create a foundation to support

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

education and job training activities. In response:
Showboat Marina Partnership, again, will set aside 1
percent of its adjusted gross gaming revenues to support an education foundation. It, too, in its first five years will see 9.5 million dollars in contributions, with an ability to leverage an additional 29 million dollars more, thereby creating a funding base of 38 million dollars in its first five years.

Improve safety, transportation, Recommendation: health, and community services. In response to this recommendation, Showboat has committed 1 percent of its adjusted gross gaming revenues to the City of East Chicago, which will put these funds to use addressing those particular needs identified in the task force process in the City of East Chicago. In addition to the 1 percent continuing contribution, Showboat has agreed to fund a wellness program as well as a list of other identified needs, such as the purchase of new police cars, which are already out in our community, demolition of vacant buildings, which has already taken place, the renovation of Little League fields that you already heard about that took place this past summer, and the purchase of a graffiti blaster which has already been put to use in addressing vandalism in our community.

Now, Showboat Marina Partnership has already

contributed 1 million dollars on these items and others identified in our task force outline, demonstrating their commitment to addressing our community needs. Essentially, every recommendation in our task force report has been or will be addressed by Showboat Marina Partnership, as outlined in our economic development agreement. The vehicle to accomplish our redevelopment goals and objectives is in place. But most importantly, the Showboat proposal is community driven. And as I indicated in my earlier remarks, in my estimation, given my experience, this project will work on that basis.

Commissioners, the citizens of East Chicago have been waiting for a new opportunity for a long time. Showboat and its economic development partnership with the City is that new opportunity. Today symbolizes a turning point for our economy, our neighborhoods, and our quality of life.

Now, the existence of Showboat in our community is not the panacea for curing East Chicago ills; no way. However, it does provide a prescription to assist in making the City well again.

The task force recommendations were issued a year and a half ago, and since that time our citizens have eagerly awaited an opportunity to improve their condition. Any people could participate in the task force process, as I indicated. Showboat has already demonstrated its

commitment to the City of East Chicago. Nearly 1,000 people already have applied for work with Showboat. Our residents want jobs; they want an opportunity to participate in training programs; they want an opportunity to improve the quality of their life. With a Certificate of Suitability for Showboat Marina Partnership, we will see 2,000 new jobs in our community. We will see the donation of multi million dollars to create community based foundations. The residents of the City of East Chicago who built this economic agreement will see the fruits of their labors.

On behalf of these residents, I urge the Commission to grant a Certificate of Suitability to Showboat Marina

Partnership. We're prepared for what Showboat Marina

Partnership will bring to the City of East Chicago. We're prepared to take Showboat Marina Partnership's contributions to begin to rebuild this community. And Commissioners, we cannot begin this process one day too soon.

I thank you for allowing me to make these comments.

And at this time, I'd like to bring to the podium

Councilman Jim Cogan, who will speak to you regarding the

Council's ratification of the economic development

agreement.

MR. COGAN: Thank you, John. Ladies and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gentlemen of the Commission, my name is James R. Cogan, Assistant Superintendent, School City of East Chicago, and a member of the East Chicago Common Council, which, as you know, is the City's legislative body. At this time, with your permission, if I may, I would like to present to the Commission all members of the East Chicago Common Council and I would ask that they please stand and be recognized. (Requested persons stood

briefly.)

Thank you. As you know, on October MR. COGAN: 4, 1993, the Common Council had endorsed Showboat as a preferred gaming operator for the City of East Chicago. This endorsement was preliminary and subject to further I point this out because the process of negotiations. endorsing Showboat early on was based on what the Showboat Marina Partnership could do for the City of East Chicago. My statements today and the recent official endorsement of this project are based on what has happened since then and what can continue to happen for the residents of East Chicago.

We endorsed the Showboat Marina Partnership because of the following reasons: Its financial stability and strength, its hiring and employment policies and reputation as a company who provides opportunities for education and advancement, its commitment to work with the community and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

develop an economic development plan based on residents' needs, and because the partnership which included 45 percent ownership by local investors gave the community more of a say about Showboat's contributions to the community.

I was able to get a firsthand view of the Showboat operation during a site visit to Atlantic City. I would like to point out that during that visit, I had the opportunity to view the entire operation and converse one-on-one with several of the employees. I was extremely impressed with the hospitality, overall cleanliness of the facility, and the educational opportunities afforded all of their employees. While visiting the learning center in Atlantic City, I observed housekeeping staff working on computers, individuals checking out reading materials, and staff available to help them answer questions and to conduct research with them. Everywhere I went, Showboat's theme of 1993, the year of learning, was evident throughout the facility. While at Showboat, I was able to strike up informal conversations with a number of local residents who gained employment through job fairs and spoke highly of Showboat. Many had left other casinos to work for the Showboat Corporation.

Since that first endorsement, East Chicago residents have received copies of the Opportunity Newsletter, a

publication which was written to inform them regarding gaming issues. They participated in 27 public forums, job fairs, vendor fairs, and task force meetings to learn what Showboat Marina Partnership could accomplish in the City of East Chicago. During this period, we've seen Showboat Marina Partnership live up to its commitment and provide even more to our residents than we had anticipated. Showboat has already sent three of East Chicago's finest high school graduates to college with 20,000 dollars in scholarships. An additional 8,000 dollars was donated to eight Indiana post-secondary institutions to grant to their students from East Chicago. We've seen a demonstrated commitment to residents in direct response to their needs through nearly 1 million dollars in contributions, which you've heard described here today.

Showboat is addressing the City's infrastructure and will provide for the improvements needed to make access to East Chicago's riverboat the most convenient of all developments along the lake. Showboat's revenue projections are realistic and conservative. The company had proven success in marketing in Atlantic City and will attract visitors here from throughout the region. The Showboat Marina casino project will work, and it will have an impact on this City that hasn't been felt in decades.

On September 11, 1995, Showboat presented its economic

development agreement to the East Chicago Common Council.

We ratified that agreement. And again, for the second

time, the East Chicago Common Council unanimously endorsed

the Showboat Marina casino project. What we bring to you

today is the City's full endorsement based on the

enthusiastic support from thousands of residents who have

already seen the benefits of what this partnership can do

for the City of East Chicago.

Ladies and gentlemen, I also thank you for the opportunity to be able to speak before you this morning.

And now I would like to introduce Mr. Rex Richards,

President of the East Chicago Chamber of Commerce. Rex?

MR. RICHARDS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, and staff, on behalf of the 900 business affiliations with the East Chicago Chamber of Commerce, I urge you to swiftly approve Showboat as the instrument for change in East Chicago, Indiana. The benefit of Showboat to East Chicago extends way beyond the 2,000 jobs which will be coming to this community. The plan proposed by Showboat will give East Chicago a direction and a future like this community has never imagined.

Seven years ago, I had the opportunity to be a part of a similar effort in Kansas City, the Woodlands, in which we were attempting and were successful to gain a 100 million dollar parimutuel track. I saw first-hand the improved

property values in that community brought about by that development. I saw equity investment flow to commercial projects at a speed that was beyond comprehension because of the attraction of a major project. Overnight, that city became a center for the entertainment dollars.

Showboat has presented a plan in East Chicago that has actually moved to bury economic foundations in this community. Your approval of Showboat as the instrument of change will diversify this community's economy for the first time in 100 years. Your approval will permit Showboat to bring tourist dollars from Illinois and adjoining states to supplement the industrial jobs which are becoming very scarce. With Showboat as the instrument for diversifying this economy, we will be able to build a business climate that stabilizes and strengthens East Chicago. We have the instrument in Showboat. We have the motivation of our citizens. All that we need is your approval. Thank you.

It's my privilege to introduce to you the next presenter, Mike LaWell, Senior Director of Public Affairs for LTV Steel.

MR. LaWELL: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Ms.

Vice-Chairman Bochnowski, members of the Commission. I am

Mike LaWell. I'm Senior Director of Public Affairs for LTV

Steel. LTV's Indiana Harbor Works, as has been mentioned,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is the second largest employer here in East Chicago and the second largest taxpayer. We employ nearly 4,500 people in the community. At one time, that number was as many as 10,000, to emphasize the downsizing that has occurred. East Chicago is a very important community to our company. This is our second largest steel producing facility in the company. We've invested 800 million dollars in that facility in the last ten years. It's very important to us what happens in this community. We care a great deal about LTV and its predecessor companies have made steel in East Chicago since 1916, and we have been an integral part of the community for many, many years, obviously. We are a member of the East Chicago Chamber of Commerce, and we fully endorse the statement that Mr. Richards just presented to you, but we'd like to expand just a little bit.

East Chicago's economy, as you have been told, is inextricably linked to the steel industry. And as a result, the community has experienced the dramatic fluctuations of our cyclical industry. The Mayor mentioned the good times and the bad, and they've been up and down consistently over the many years that we have been the base of the economy here. But during the 80's and the 90's, the City had to face permanent structural changes, and the numbers have already been alluded to. The downsizing was

very dramatic. During all of this economic restructuring, this City has provided its industrial base with a very stable, solid place to do business, and we appreciate that a great deal. But it has never accomplished its objectives in diversifying and broadening the range of employment opportunities and economic development opportunities that it so desperately needs.

As a native of the Calumet area, a former economic development planner with the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission, and a former Executive Vice-President of the East Chicago Chamber of Commerce, I can assure you that diversification of the economy of this City and of this region has been a long-time goal and a much needed goal. And I speak to you also as someone representing a primary economic driver in the community, the steel industry. We recognize diversity is needed.

While we have no position as a company on the question of gaming, we recognize that the legislature has made that legal in Indiana; and that by overwhelming referendum vote in East Chicago, it has been adopted here as a desirable form of economic development. Our purpose to be here today is to lend as fully as we possibly can our support to the City of East Chicago's efforts to diversify and develop its economy. And we feel, as a City, that this project is a very important part of that process. The economic benefits

of the Showboat casino project will, in our view, extend well beyond the 2,000 jobs that are expected in the process. We're especially pleased that the proposal incorporates plans to establish and fund, on a continuing basis, and I think that's so critical, two independent foundations and numerous other procedures or entities through which economic development will be stimulated, focusing both on economic and community development and on education, which is so critically needed to help our young people better prepare for the jobs ahead.

The annual funding in the neighborhood of 2 million dollars and the leveraging that's expected in that regard is, we think, quite significant. Additionally, the substantial tax revenues that are estimated to be as much as 17 million dollars directly to the City in the first year of operation, and a significant level on an ongoing basis, clearly will enable the City to relieve some pressure from the property tax rates, which are an important part of stimulating continued economic growth and development and tracking new business and industry and other forms of business to the community, as well as benefiting the current property owners in the community.

For these reasons, which we think are very important and very critical to this community, we, along with the Chamber of Commerce, strongly support this request and urge

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your favorable action on the request for licensing of the casino, the East Chicago project, the Showboat. Thank you.

MAYOR PASTRICK: In conclusion, ladies and gentlemen, I hope that you're beginning to see why we are so excited about this partnership that we have with Showboat. Speaking of partnerships, this very facility that you're sitting in, and I hope it's been comfortable for you, is a partnership that has existed here in the City of East Chicago through the endowment from the Little League Foundation and also the Urban Enterprise Association and the City of East Chicago and the City -- Community Development Department. They've built this facility, which is one of the only ones in the country, other than one that exists out in California, where they have a relationship between senior citizens and youngsters. And it's a day care and a center for the youngsters, and senior citizens use the facility also. And they interrelate with one another and are working closely with one another. And it's been a tremendous concept that's been very successful. We're very proud of it here in the City of East Chicago. So this Inter-Generational Center has been a great boon to us.

If I may, I would like to take this opportunity to thank some people that have helped us along the way. I want to thank your Director, Jack Thar, and his staff for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the cooperation that we've always received whenever we've had a question or anything which dealt with gaming here in the City of East Chicago. They've been most cooperative, and I deeply appreciate that. I also want to thank Kell and all the members of the riverboat, Showboat, for all the effort they've put forth and all the cooperation we've received from each and every one of them in helping us to formulate this program and this plan that we have. But I want to single out one person, if I may, Kell, and that's Tom has just been exceptional. There has Tom Bonner. never been a moment when we've called upon him that he hasn't been right there to give us the answers and to help us along the way. He's a man of integrity and honesty, and I deeply appreciated being associated with him over these many months. And I know that his commitments that he's made to us are honorable commitments, and I believe in and I look forward to this vision for the City of East Chicago.

I want to thank our staff and the members of my administration for all the help that they have been and for all their diligence and determination that they have put forth to put this program in effect.

And as I leave you to tend to some personal matters, as I do, I only ask that you judge our City and its people kindly and afford us an opportunity to accept the challenge to restore to our community and its people its rightful

place in the success of the State of Indiana and the success of this great nation of ours. And on that note, I leave you and thank you so much for your attendance here today. God bless you all.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, we all wish the Mayor the best. And I'm sorry he's almost out of the room. Mayor, we want to wish you the best and thank you very much.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KLINEMAN: We're ready now for the question I think, for the record, I would like to state one thing. We, of course, are faced with a situation where here in East Chicago, we have a sole applicant. And that, of course, causes some people to suggest that this is a foregone conclusion. Here's an area that is supposed to be licensed pursuant to the Statute, and there's only one applicant; so one plus one equals two. Well, in this particular case, one plus one may not equal two. And I'm not trying to prejudge one way or the other, but I just wanted to indicate to those of you here in East Chicago that for the benefit of East Chicago and for the benefit of the State of Indiana, this Commission is charged with issuing licenses. And I've stated several times, and I reiterate now, that the fact there is only one applicant does not mean that that applicant will receive a nod from this Commission.

We are going to evaluate this applicant, as we have in every instance, against other applicants for other locations. We intend to see whether or not the economic package which has been produced by this applicant is equal to or exceeds those which we have seen in other areas. And in fact, the evaluation -- as those of you who care to make yourselves knowledgeable, the evaluations which have been done by IUPUI for this Commission where they test the

economic benefits and test the projections which each applicant makes, we have actually asked them and they have in fact tested the applicant for East Chicago against other applicants in other locations. So we have a compilation. We don't just have a report from IUPUI on Showboat, but rather we have a comparison of the Showboat package with other applicants in this State. So to that extent, let me say that we approach Showboat as any other applicant.

And so we will go forward now with the questions, but I wanted to state for the record that there are no foregone conclusions on anything. We intend to try to do the job which the legislature gave to us, which is to produce the maximum benefits for East Chicago and for the State in choosing the applicant or choosing the person to receive the certificate.

Would you like to start with the questions, and we can go back and forth between the City and the developer, however the Commission wishes.

MR. BONNER: Mr. Chairman, just one statement, if I may, before you begin. Just in response to your earlier comments about the statements that may be in support of the project, I just wanted to call out that in the booklets that you have received is a compilation of letters of public support which are the results of our interaction with the community. I wanted to make sure that you were

aware that they are there for your review.

MR. KLINEMAN: We get to review all this stuff.

I'll probably need a truck to take home the supplies we've received this week. But they'll be reviewed as we've done in other instances. Thank you.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Well, we're faced, to be perfectly candid, with a very difficult situation here; and that is, for the first time, we're actually seeing true local involvement and ownership. On the other hand, those local people are people who have been very politically connected, have actually been employees of the City. And I think the perception here is that you've got the same people who have always gotten the goodies getting more goodies here. And I'd like some comments on that. Why isn't there a broader involvement? Because you have brought in -- or I think the local people brought you in, actually. And is this why there was only one candidate? Actually, there was another who withdrew, and it seemed like it was a foregone conclusion. I think we need to clear some of this up.

MR. BONNER: Commissioner Bochnowski, I think
there are several people who can answer that question, but
I'll take the first attempt. And if there's more
information you want, I think there are others here who are
in a better position to explain their perspectives. But

from Showboat's perspective, I can put it into perspective from the gaming operator. I personally made the decision on behalf of Showboat that the CEO of Summit made not to continue the application process in Indiana, and I made that decision in at least three cities in the State of Indiana. I was charged with the responsibility of seeking out gaming opportunities in the midwest region for Showboat. When we learned by surprise, as did you all, I think, that gaming had been passed, I set out to explore the opportunities.

I first went to Jeffersonville. While in

Jeffersonville doing my diligent investigation for the

company, I kept hearing the names Ogden and Harrah's. Now,

I saw the storefront that they had established. I saw the

literature that was all over the city. And I decided that

maybe there were other opportunities to pursue where there

might be less competition. It's a basic business decision

that you make. "Let's look where we don't have to fight

any harder than is absolutely necessary."

I moved on. We took a look at Mount Albany. We didn't find anything down south that we thought had the right blend of strong demographics plus a likelihood of winning the bid there.

We focused our attention on the heavily populated areas of northwest Indiana. I personally traveled to Gary,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Hammond, and to East Chicago. My first trips were to Gary. I had several meetings with city officials in Gary. And repeatedly, I heard the words "Trump Resorts, Trump Resorts." Never was I being discouraged from continuing the process; but as a realistic businessman, I could understand that others had been there and had been working hard long before we got there.

So I then moved on to see if there was another opportunity where there might be a less competitive environment into which we might be entering. I went to Hammond. I met with representatives of the City of Hammond. And it was already a matter of record that Empress had established their relationship with the City of Hammond through their underwriting the bond issue for the Clipper and through some other activities that had been The City officials were very frank with me. underway. asked them specifically: "How does this put Empress with respect to other operators who may be interested in, eventually, the City of Hammond?" Again, not being discouraged; but the honest answer led me to a conclusion. It was clear that Empress had an existing relationship with the City. Using the term "favored relationship" gives it the wrong color. That wasn't the case. But they had a pre-existing relationship.

I again said, "Let's see if there's another

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunity that might be a better opportunity for the company." I then came to East Chicago. Quite honestly, on my first trip to East Chicago, I got lost. I couldn't find the lakefront. I couldn't find the park. I ended up in the depths of a steel mill. I then ended up in a blighted area of boarded up buildings. And frankly, my conclusion "This is not the place for Showboat to be." But we was: persevered. We worked through a Washington law firm of national renown in trying to introduce us to potential business partners in new areas where we had never operated. Through the introductions that that law firm was able to make, we met Mike Pannos and Tom Cappas. I personally was involved in the negotiations. I personally have been here for two years. And I will personally be here, assuming you give us that opportunity. Had I not taken heart after my first trip to East Chicago and gone the extra step to find out the diamond in the rough that East Chicago is, to have the opportunity to meet with the City officials and to meet with our prospective partners, I believe I would have made the same decision that Summit made not to continue their efforts in East Chicago.

And a final note before you may wish to hear from someone else: One of the strategies that Showboat was pursuing in the summer of 1993 was to do exactly what we succeeded in doing. Our corporate strategy was to form

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relationships with groups of local investors with high integrity, good standing in the community, who were experienced and apparently well organized, and with the ability to provide some funds for the project. When we came to East Chicago, the only group of which we were aware that we could find was the Waterfront Group. They were well organized. As you had indicated, Commissioner Bochnowski, they were out in front. They were the developer. They had been talking to many, many gaming companies by the time we got here. We got here at the eleventh hour, quite frankly. So that to me, from my perspective, it's not strange or incomprehensible that there would be only one applicant in this jurisdiction. made that same decision in other jurisdictions, and I can see how another representative of a developer might make that decision here in East Chicago.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Go ahead. We'll hear from the well connected people.

MR. PANNOS: Commissioner, Tom outlined it from his perspective --

MR. SUNDWICK: Please state your name.

MR. PANNOS: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Michael Pannos. When Tom Cappas and I first started, and it was really as the last days of the special session of the Legislature were coming down, we tried to go through an

analysis of exactly what would be successful. And I will admit in that 60- or 75-day period from the time gaming was authorized until we filed an application with this Commission, we learned something new every day. We had meetings with an awful lot of companies. We had meetings with a lot of people in this community. And we felt that by getting ourselves out front, by out working, by out hustling, by talking to everybody, by putting this together in the strongest package that we possibly could, that it would accomplish a couple of goals.

One, we could stand muster with this Commission; because I believe not only because of my profile and Tom's profile, but probably some of the others that were affiliated with us, we would probably encourage or have more scrutiny than almost anybody else. I don't apologize for my relationships over the years. I have many dear friends in public service, and I think those are good things. I also believe that I have had an eye on this area of the world for a very long time and care deeply about it. And I thought only by organizing and putting the pieces of the puzzle together could we be successful.

With that in mind, I think our winding up in this partnership with Showboat became something that was -- they were a company that fit our needs. And our needs were -- I needed somebody that could be licensable in the sense that

they'd probably gone through that in New Jersey. They carry great strength. They had the other attributes that we wanted. I wanted to create with Tom Cappas the 800 pound gorilla of license applications. I thought it was important for us to do that.

I think, and Tom Bonner is well aware, we were negotiating very, very strongly with two or three other people very near the end of this process. And frankly, Tom Cappas and I and the rest of the Waterfront people decided that this fit much better because of the size and ability of Showboat and because of the fact that this team could create a package that was successful.

The people that are part of our effort are people that understand this community. We thought and we focused on East Chicago. We made an analysis that we weren't going any other place, which is one of the requirements that we did in our partnership agreement with Showboat. We wanted to focus on this community and answer those needs. And I think we did that. Every single person on our part of the partnership has a specific role and something to bring to the table in a business venture. Admittedly, some of them came out of the public sector; but I believe they came out bringing specific things that can make this a successful venture.

There is nobody that knows this community and its

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

streets and its alleys and its streetlights and its traffic patterns better than Bob Hoggs. He ran, you know, a sector of this city for over 20 years. He was ready to retire. He had been in public service for 25 years after a long career at Inland Steel. Bob brought that kind of knowledge to us to create a successful venture.

The same thing with George Pabey. George Pabey was at the top of where he could be as Chief of Police. going to retire and open a -- his brother was opening a restaurant/lounge here in town. George was going into that and doing security things when, I believe, we were able to grab George. One of the things that I saw in the law was you better have your security, your safety, and your interaction between this project and the community down pat. I don't think there's anybody better than George I've known George since high school. I went to Pabey. high school with George. I look a lot younger. trust George. He knows this community, and I think he is the kind of person that works and that clearly fits in. And our partner believes that, too, because George is the head of security for the casino project.

When we went to try and do the outreach for the community, we put together John Flores and Louis Gonzalez, both of whom are somewhat in the public sector. John is principal of the high school where he still is. One of the

problems you have in urban communities is making sure the youth have an outlet. As John Artis and Bob Pastrick said, I remember growing up as a kid in this area. My buddies knew that, you know, if you're not going to college or you're not doing this, you could go down to the steel mill, you got a job, you can buy a car, probably buy a house, you raise a family, you work for 40 years, and retire. People in East Chicago don't have that opportunity anymore. John Flores, as the principal of the high school, helped us craft the educational component, which I believe is a key to revitalizing an urban area.

Louis Gonzalez is at Ivy Tech, and I've known Louis a long time, when he was Chairman of the Port Commission, and I had a very close relationship with Louis over the years. I trust him. He resigned that post, and there was no conflict with this agency. So Louis brought that Ivy Tech component. Louis is very strong and active in this community, and I think it's something we need.

What we did on the Waterfront side is try to put together a team that fit those needs. We were not constructing a public agency. We tried to reach out into the community in talking and in public meetings. We talked to everything that was moving and some that were not moving in this community over those 75 days and have continued that. But what we tried to construct was something that

would work. We did not go around -- frankly, Chairman Klineman, I don't think there's anybody that knows that nothing is a certainty more than I. If you ask my wife and kids if we thought this was a mortal lock, believe me, you'd get a different story.

We believe, frankly, that because of the scrutiny we would be looked at as closely if not more closely than anybody else. But we also thought that we could bring more to the table by putting them together like this. I wanted a true Indiana project, and that meant Indiana people doing this kind of a project. And I thought it was important, and I thought it was because of that that we were able to strike things up.

I had many things -- Tom and I met with a lot of people. We sought them out. Some of them told us, "Listen, take a few percentage points, represent us, just shut up, and we'll get this done, and you'll be a wealthy man." I didn't think that made a lot of sense. I didn't want to be an Indiana shill. I've been in the public eye for a long time, and that was not where I thought I would wind up. I wanted to control my own destiny and the destiny of an area that I care a lot about. So that's how we got there.

It was not a process where we went out and put an ad in the paper and said, you know, "We need investors. We

need you to come and do that." We wanted to put together 1 the very best team where everybody had to do something, and 2 everybody on our side had to do something. It was not the 3 kind of thing where somebody would just come in, and that 4 was it. So I don't know if that -- that at least lays the 5 6 groundwork for where we are. 7 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Thank you. Does anybody have a 8 comment? 9 MR. SUNDWICK: Yes, I'd like to ask a couple 10 questions, sir, because I get confused on a certain area. 11 Your Waterfront Project or the Waterfront Group; is that the name? 12 MR. PANNOS: It's Waterfront Entertainment 13 14 Development. MR. SUNDWICK: Okay. Now, so the people that are 15 16 on currently as partners were on that original team? MR. PANNOS: They were on the original plan. 17 original team was Tom Cappas and I. Those were the -- Tom 18 and I started this basically as the legislation was being 19 20 passed. 21 MR. SUNDWICK: Okay. So then as I understand it, 22 you then put together a team of local people? 23 MR. PANNOS: Yes. That evolved over that 24 two-month period.

MR. SUNDWICK: Okay. Now we have the team of

yourselves and the local people. You started to look at companies or visit with different companies; am I right?

MR. PANNOS: That started very early on. Tom and I met with the first person -- Tom, tell me -- probably mid July was the first person we met with.

MR. SUNDWICK: Are there any other Waterfront type people? Is there anybody else in town that had an interest in having this -- putting a team together?

MR. PANNOS: I'll tell you what; we would at varying times over the next -- until February, certainly, of 1994, we tried to do both a reality check and a rumor central between Cappas and I of the varying people who were talking about they had another gaming company; they were going to get involved. I had an accountant call me and say -- I know the guy, and I think he just called me out of courtesy. "Well, I've got some guys that are going to file in East Chicago." And I said, "Go ahead." And I heard a group of doctors were talking about it. I had a couple people -- more than a couple people call and say, "We want to do this. We want to do this."

But you know, Tom and I had really started, and we weren't looking for just somebody to come along and ride with us. We wanted people that would actually do and make this work. There were other people in town that we had heard about; nothing that, I believe -- there was certainly

nobody as far along as we were.

I have tried to make it -- when I tackle projects, I normally -- it's a fairly common practice: I try and go and get in early. I try and talk to everybody there is and find out what's there. And I work that way on everything I do. And I think we did that here. Were there other people out there? I presume so. Nobody got as far as we did.

MR. SUNDWICK: You grew up in this town, and it's not really a large community. You'd be very well aware if there was another competitive group, if you will, like the Waterfront Group that really wanted to get involved in this? There would have to be kind of two teams?

MR. PANNOS: Commissioner, what happens is -- or at least what I saw was during this whole rather frenzied process, from the time -- the Commission wasn't even appointed, at that point. As that was going forward, I think a lot of people were looking. You know, people were calling every lawyer in the area and saying to represent them. People were looking at who was who. That -- I think Tom Cappas and I were two people that sat down and organized it. It's one thing to do that and put a group together, which I believe is a fairly strong group and has an understanding of the community; and it's another thing to have an idea that you can team up with somebody else who's going to drive your process. And that was my

analysis.

MR. SUNDWICK: Clearly you put a pretty strong group together. It appears to me that after you put it together that you started to interview different organizations; am I right?

MR. PANNOS: We sure did.

MR. SUNDWICK: You went through a laundry list of probably -- how many did your group interview?

MR. PANNOS: You know, as a matter of fact, I walk in the morning, and that's when I try to think of these things. I was trying to think of it this morning, of exactly how many, and I was trying to list them. It was probably over 15 that we met with in either Atlantic City, Las Vegas, Chicago, here. And we went from the very largest to some that were brand new and just starting. And we talked in depth, and we had a variety of different discussion levels with very few people. We really narrowed that down to a few. And Tom Bonner came in at the very last minute and really made us feel like that's the best partner.

MR. SUNDWICK: So you then teamed up with Showboat?

MR. PANNOS: Yes, sir.

MR. SUNDWICK: And your group and Showboat teamed up together and said, "This is what we're going to do"?

1 MR. PANNOS: Yes.

MR. SUNDWICK: When was that; what approximate time?

MR. PANNOS: I think the first time we saw each other was either very late in August or early September.

It was not long -- probably the third week of August, something like that.

MR. SUNDWICK: Was it last year?

MR. PANNOS: No, no; 1993. And Tom Cappas and I were hopefully getting a little smarter every day, trying to figure this whole process out. And Tom Bonner came in, and we were pretty far along in negotiations with another company.

MR. SUNDWICK: What company was that?

MR. PANNOS: Aztar. We were very close with them. We thought they were reputable people. We thought they were very good people. And we had a sticking point that allowed us both to walk away as friends and with respect. But it was a sticking point for us that Showboat did not have a sticking point, and that was that I wanted that company to make its focus in Indiana East Chicago, rather than someplace else. And our dealings with Aztar were absolutely top notch. They were great people. We were very close on that, and we liked them a lot. But they said they wanted to file down south, and I thought that

that would be damaging to us because of who we were.

MR. SUNDWICK: What other companies did you -you were very close. What was your short list? It was
obviously Showboat. Aztar left. Who else did you have on
your short list?

MR. PANNOS: One that came on very strong with us was Lady Luck. They were pretty aggressive at the end, and we had had people that were calling us at the end, but I don't think we really negotiated anything in great detail at the end. The two that were really there -- or the three were Lady Luck, Aztar, and Showboat.

MR. SUNDWICK: So the process, as we end up with, was Showboat. Lady Luck didn't get a nod, for whatever reason?

MR. PANNOS: Well, Lady Luck, in my analysis -and this is certainly not a slam of Lady Luck -- but I
thought it was too small a company, and I didn't think that
that would create the 800 pound gorilla that I wanted to
make our licensing process easier. I thought that Showboat
also had the resources that they were willing to commit to
make this real. I don't think it made a lot of sense for
us to go -- I wanted somebody in the top tier that could
make it happen, and I believe Showboat was one of those.

MR. SUNDWICK: So the eleventh hour you went out, and you guys get together?

MR. PANNOS: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SUNDWICK: That was still August, 1993?

MR. PANNOS: Yes, it was August, and it was late August because the filing deadline was September 15, and we were under the gun. Because I didn't want to go by without having a referendum in East Chicago, which put us back until May for another election. So while they were looking, as we got further down the line, it sort of narrowed our options because I figured I needed to have a deal put together prior to the September 15 deadline so we could have a filing and pay for the referendum, because otherwise the referendum gets put off for another eight or nine months, whatever.

MR. SUNDWICK: So the referendum passes. we're going to have to make a pitch to the City; right?

MR. PANNOS: Well, we made a pitch. And in the absence of -- the Commission had just been set up. the absence of any direction, it was my judgment, and I was the principal one that said that I thought we should ask the City to look at us, to have them -- you know, make our presentation to the City Council and try and do that before the referendum so that the public could have knowledge. had public meetings and all those things. Tom Cappas and I, Tom Bonner, I think we met with a lot of people all over. We had neighborhood meetings that we had in every

neighborhood of the City. Hundreds of people came out. 1 Ι 2 ate a lot of doughnuts and cookies for six days, and it was a long process. But that was where we got to get the 3 referendum. 4 MR. SUNDWICK: Then collectively what you did is 5 6

made a pitch to the City. And then a by-product of the City -- we heard that the City's evaluation went out into the neighborhoods, evaluated your presentation or your promotion, and had subcommittees that said, "This is what we think we want from these people"; am I right? I mean, I was going to ask the gentleman here, but he left. Oh, there he is. So what happened is --

MR. FIFE: I was standing close to the podium.

MR. SUNDWICK: Oh, I understand; okay.

MR. FIFE: Jim Fife, Commissioner Sundwick.

MR. SUNDWICK: So what happened is everybody went out and did all the nifty things you talked about, about participating with the community, etc.?

MR. FIFE: Well, it was really a two-step Initially, shortly after the gaming law passed, our Mayor was besieged with phone calls from operators. Everybody wanted to come in and make their pitch to him. And some did, and he talked to quite a few of them. He at some point then relayed to me, he said, you know, "I shouldn't be the one talking to all these people. We

FORM C-100 - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 should expand this." And that's when we came up with the idea of having an initial task force just to screen the 2 The four of us, our job was just to screen the applicants. 3 applicants and come up with a short list of maybe three, 4 four, five, or six, whatever the number would be, that we 5 6 could then recommend on to the expanded task force that was 7 initiated in February. MR. SUNDWICK: Were any of the other people that 8 called the Mayor -- and he turned it over to you and the 9 10 four people; right? MR. FIFE: Well, it just became knowledge at some 11 point in time. And it wasn't really until, I believe, late 12 August or early September that we determined this was the 13 process we were going to use. But then yes, I became the 14 focal point for receiving and disseminating information. 15 MR. SUNDWICK: So you got the task; right? 16 MR. FIFE: That's right. 17 MR. SUNDWICK: So you and three other people --18 you said there were four, if I recall? 19 20 MR. FIFE: Right. MR. SUNDWICK: -- had to get the short list for 21 22 the Mayor? Correct. 23 MR. FIFE: MR. SUNDWICK: What other --24

MR. FIFE: Well, not only for the Mayor.

intentions wer

to the City Co

also has an in

also to our Pa

MR.

government in

intentions were also to make recommendations to the Mayor, to the City Council, to our Redevelopment Commission, who also has an interest in the property at the marina, and also to our Park and Recreation District.

MR. SUNDWICK: You're describing the city government in general. I'm not trying to pick that out.

MR. FIFE: Okay; right.

MR. SUNDWICK: That short list came down to who, three or four?

MR. FIFE: Well, the short list came down to one because we had decided that -- we were looking at what other communities were doing, and we saw communities going out with RFP's and having 12 or 15 different operators coming in and making presentations. We didn't put out an RFP because at that time, we didn't know what we wanted in our package. We couldn't be that specific. We had no idea what gaming was going to do for the City. We thought that that input would be better left to an expanded task force. So what we did was decided that we would only look at the serious applicants. And the way we determined the serious applicants were those that filed an application for licensing. We took it from there. There were two at the time.

MR. SUNDWICK: Who were those two?

MR. FIFE: Summit Riverboat Casinos of Indiana, I

1 believe is their proper name, and Showboat Marina 2 Partnership. MR. SUNDWICK: But neither Aztar nor Lady Luck 3 were on the short list of the Waterfront? They just 4 figured, "They're gone"? 5 MR. FIFE: I don't know what happened to them. 6 Ι believe I did talk to Lady Luck sometime in August. Ι 7 8 think I met them out at the site and gave them a tour of 9 our facility. I believe someone from the administration 10 also talked to Aztar. There were about 17 different 11 operators that we had talked with at that time. 12 MR. SUNDWICK: They weren't in this -- you know, 13 that's what I'm trying to get to. You never saw these people again? They were kind of evaporated? 14 15 MR. FIFE: Correct. MR. KLINEMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Fife; you said you 16 talked to 17 different applicants? 17 18 MR. FIFE: Different operators, different gaming 19 interests. 20 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: What was the nature of your comments to them? Were you encouraging to everybody or was 21 it -- what were --22 MR. FIFE: Absolutely. The Mayor took the 23 24 position from the very beginning that this was going to be

an open process, that anybody and everybody was welcome to

There were no foregone conclusions in East Chicago. 1 We were going to look for the best package and the best 2 partner that the City of East Chicago could get. 3 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And when you talked with 4 these --5 MR. SUNDWICK: Well --6 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I just wanted to interject here. 7 8 When you talked to these 17 people, did they have local 9 groups connected with them or not? 10 MR. FIFE: It didn't appear to be so. I can't recollect all the conversations. And once again, the Mayor 11 had some of those conversations, also, with the operators. 12 But it didn't appear that they were connected with any 13 local investment groups. And this was, once again, early 14 on in the process. And they were all basically coming in 15 to make the pitch to say, "Hey, you know, we're interested. 16 17 Will you consider us? What's your process?" We said, "We don't have a process. We don't know what our process is 18 19 going to be, but you're welcome to apply." MR. SUNDWICK: So to get to the short list, they 20 had to apply. How many did apply? 21 MR. FIFE: Well, what we did is we looked at the 22 applications with the Gaming Commission. And there were 23 24 only the two that applied: Summit and Showboat.

MR. SUNDWICK: Okay. And then from that --

25

24

25

1 MR. FIFE: From that point in time --2 MR. SUNDWICK: From that, so now we have three; we have Showboat; correct? 3 4 MR. FIFE: Right. MR. SUNDWICK: And Showboat has the local 5 6 Waterfront Group? 7 MR. FIFE: Yes. MR. SUNDWICK: And Summit has --8 MR. FIFE: We never got to Summit because when I 9 10 talked to the representative -- I believe his name was Mr. 11 Elton -- out in Reno and informed him that our task force had been initiated and we were preparing to do our work, I 12 requested we come out for an on-site visit, asked him if he 13 wanted to send us any additional information he wanted us 14 15 to consider. On the telephone in that conversation, he indicated to me that they would be withdrawing their 16 application; so we proceeded no farther with Summit. 17 However, prior to our making our recommendations to the 18 19 first body, which was the Common Council, on October 4, I believe it was October 1, I again invited Summit to come if 20 they wanted to anyway to make a presentation to the Council 21 even though we had not had an opportunity to evaluate them. 22

MR. SUNDWICK: So the Common Council is a little bit like we are: They had one opportunity that you recommended and that showed up and said, "These are the

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

guys, and there's only one." And they all said -- well, you're not in the same position we are. It's yes or no?

MR. FIFE: Right. I believe they read our And we had a representative on the Council report. specifically for that reason so he could be a liaison between what was going on with the Council. We found them to be highly qualified. But let me add that that wasn't going to be the end of our process. There were still gaming interests -- I don't have the names. But there were gaming interests that had contacted the City even after that. And we told them we were going to consider and we would be open to review anybody that filed for an application for licensing. And if we found them to be qualified, we would also go back to the Council and to the other departments and make that further recommendation that here also is another company that's highly qualified or somewhat qualified or whatever. And now it's --

MR. SUNDWICK: If they would have asked you your procedure, "How do you do that," there really wasn't a procedure? It was, "If you apply, you can get from our short list to the Common Council"?

MR. FIFE: If you were an applicant with the Indiana Gaming Commission, we would then take the time to look at you.

MR. SWAN: Could you describe the timing of your

- 1/							
2	said the thing was on						
3	MR. FIFE: It was October 1st.						
4	MR. SWAN: October 4th?						
5	MR. FIFE: It was October 1st, and we invited						
6	them one last time to see if they wanted to come October						
7	4th to make a presentation.						
8	MR. SWAN: So a couple days before then?						
9	MR. FIFE: Right. We received, I believe it was						
10	by fax, a written response from Mr. Elton saying that they						
11	were withdrawing. He'd indicated that to me over the						
12	phone, but he said that also.						
13	MR. SWAN: Did he say why they were withdrawing?						
14	MR. FIFE: He did not indicate a reason to me for						
15	his withdrawal.						
16	MR. VOWELS: When did you notify Showboat of that						
17	presentation being held?						
18	MR. FIFE: The same day, October 1st.						
19	MR. VOWELS: And it was scheduled for October						
20	4th?						
21	MR. FIFE: October 4th, correct.						
22	MR. VOWELS: Was there any other member of the						
23	City, that you're aware of, that spoke with Mr. Elton at						
24	any time?						
25	MR. FIFE: There were several people in our						

invitation to Summit to come to the Common Council? You

administration -- and when I'm talking administration, I'm talking department heads -- that had talked to Summit early on. And there were other gaming operators, I believe, that talked to various city officials early on about what our process was going to be and how we were going to conduct our review process.

DR. ROSS: Bringing it up a little bit closer, just looking at your application, and it seems to be a very sort of in-house thing, and most of the people here know about East Chicago. And it seems that by Statute, you have done everything that you could possibly do. And for me, visiting all these citizens seems to be the best well put together operation that I've seen.

MR. FIFE: Thank you.

DR. ROSS: So can you explain to me why people keep suing you?

MR. KLINEMAN: Actually, Doctor, they're suing us.

DR. ROSS: Oh, they're suing me? That shows you how much I know.

MR. KLINEMAN: First time I've been ever been to East Chicago, I get sued. That's the first time in my life.

MR. VOWELS: I just wonder about malpractice insurance.

MR. SUNDWICK: I think Dr. Ross is being a little bit facetious; but in fact, we all want to know why we show up here and we are sued.

MR. FIFE: That I can't really speculate on, other than -- well, I'll tell you this: Mr. Egipciaco, who was the plaintiff in yesterday's case, is on the list to speak to the Commission today. I think he wants to address you during the public comment section. So maybe that question would be better directed towards the plaintiff.

MR. KLINEMAN: Just to put this in chronological order: The law passes June 30, 1993. That's when Mr. Pannos starts to put his group together; right?

MR. FIFE: (Nodded head.)

MR. KLINEMAN: And that's the local group you start to put together; actually it's just you (pointing) and Tom to start off with. We then are appointed on September 1, 1993. We then ask -- or we pass our infamous Resolution 1993-10.

MR. FIFE: On October 8th.

MR. KLINEMAN: On October 8, which tries to pry open every process in every place in the State. And as I said yesterday, I think we did a lot of good with that Resolution. It's not been lived up to fully in some areas, but I still think we did a lot of good. We increased the packages in a lot of areas. We then set the deadline for

filing applications on February 2, 1994. Now, my question to you is: Between those -- in that scenario up to and including February 2, 1994, how many inquiries do you think you or the Mayor had from people; and is there any way of your recalling what you did for those people? I'm trying to find out: Were they faced with a closed door or were they faced with an open door?

MR. FIFE: Anybody at any time when they contacted the City of East Chicago was faced with an open door. There were relatively fewer requests for information after September 15, from the September 15 deadline to the February 2 deadline.

MR. KLINEMAN: That's the other deadline I didn't put -- we asked that in order to trigger the referendum; we said that the referendum could be held anyplace there was an applicant. But we went further and said that if subsequent applications are filed between the referendum date and the February -- the date we then set as February 2, 1994, that those people would reimburse the original person for the cost of the referendum, etc. So we tried to level the playing field in that respect. So you're saying that most of your inquiries were prior to September 15?

MR. FIFE: That's right; or even prior to that.

Most of our inquiries were in July and August. Just to

refresh our minds, we kind of went back through the phone

records to see, you know, when everything -- and there were really hardly any phone calls or requests for information or interest after September. However, we did stand ready to evaluate anyone that came in the door at that time.

MR. KLINEMAN: And at no time between -- you know, from September 1st -- or the end of June, I guess, through February 2, 1994, it would be your advice to this Commission that at no time during that period did you in any way, shape, or form indicate to any possible applicant that it was fruitless for them to file or that they wouldn't be given full consideration?

MR. FIFE: Not only at that time, but at no time whatsoever was that ever any indication from any City official to any gaming operator.

MR. KLINEMAN: And then let me understand: What action did the Common Council take during this period, and when was that action taken?

MR. FIFE: Their action was four days prior to your action on October 8th, in which they preliminarily endorsed Showboat Marina Partnership and requested that this task force proceed with entering into negotiations and starting to develop an economic development package that we could bring back. And at that time, that is when the Mayor decided to expand the task force.

MR. SUNDWICK: I guess I've been invited to a lot

of parties or social events and I didn't know if they
wanted me to come or not, but they sent me an invitation.
I'm trying to think: you've got August, you've got

I'm trying to think; you've got August, you've got September, and you've got our October dates. All this transpired before our October date?

MR. FIFE: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SUNDWICK: It was really over -- as far as East Chicago was concerned, it was over?

MR. FIFE: No, I don't think we ever felt that it I mean, we were facing the referendum. wanted to make sure that we had a referendum on the ballot. We wanted to make sure we had an applicant out there who was going to pay for it. And I think -- you know, there were other communities that started the process way before we did. And I think we looked at some of those communities and tried to avoid some of the pitfalls and tried to take some of the advantages. But we were never closed. And I mean, you know, I think you have to look at the reasons some of these decisions are made by these large multi million dollar companies. And I don't really think you'll know that unless you get into their boardrooms to find out how they make these decisions. But I can tell you that the City of East Chicago never hindered or discouraged any operator from applying nor ever gave the impression that the process was closed.

1 MR. VOWELS: I want to ask Mr. Bonner a quick 2 question, sir. 3 MR. BONNER: Yes, Commissioner Vowels. 4 MR. VOWELS: You mentioned earlier that you had 5 gone to Hammond. When was that? 6 MR. BONNER: My efforts began, I believe, 7 certainly in late July or early August. I cannot tell you 8 the specific date that I went to Hammond, but it was in that time frame. 9 10 MR. VOWELS: You had mentioned earlier, and I'll 11 quote, that you said that there was a lock there with 12 Empress? 13 MR. BONNER: I don't really recall that in my 14 quote, but I know that Empress had established a 15 relationship with the City and had been there for some time. 16 17 MR. VOWELS: And I'm just -- this has nothing to 18 do with here, but this will help with somewhere else. How 19 much did Empress' agreement with Hammond weigh in your decision not to apply there? 20 21 MR. BONNER: Empress' pre-existing relationship 22 with the City, which included an agreement by which they 23 were providing funds to the City, to me was a very strong 24 factor that led me to recommend to the company that we look

elsewhere. I would say it was one of the most important

factors, as I evaluated those factors.

MR. VOWELS: Would you say that it had a chilling effect on your --

MR. BONNER: I think that is a term that would accurately characterize it, yes. Commissioner Klineman, if I could offer one brief addition to what Mr. Fife said; it's anecdotal, but I think it's very important. When I came to East Chicago, I met with Mr. Fife at the site before I even met Mr. Pannos and Mr. Cappas. If there's any concern that the City was not being forthright in speaking with potential gaming developers, that indicates that I, on behalf of Showboat, who had not even met Mr. Pannos and Mr. Cappas, was given all the information I needed, was given a tour of the site. Mr. Fife had no idea that I would eventually end up talking with the Waterfront people.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, that's interesting information. I have two announcements to make. One, we're going to take an early lunch; and two, we're not coming back.

MR. THAR: No; wait, wait. I'm sorry. May
I have a moment?

MR. KLINEMAN: Yes.

(Discussion was held off the record.)

MR. SUNDWICK: Just a quick question. You said you really felt that by --

MR. KLINEMAN: My Executive Director, as he usually does, is trying to keep me straight in this program. We have been served with another Order which effectively reinstates the Order that was issued two days ago which restrained us from having hearings. So we are going to take an early lunch, a few minutes early. We will come back at 1:15, at which time we will then definitely know whether the new Order is going to be in force or whether it is not. But as of now, pursuant to an Order of the Lake Circuit Court sitting in Crown Point, Indiana, this Commission is restrained from proceeding further with these hearings. So we will be back at 1:15, and it sure is fun up here.

(The proceedings were adjourned for lunch recess at 12:10 p.m.)

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

STATE	OF	3	ANAIDN	)	
				)	SS:
COTINTY	7 ()	7	DODTED	`	

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, RUTH GRISSMAN, a duly qualified stenotype reporter and duly authorized to administer said oath, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were had before me on Thursday, October 19, 1995, at the Inter-Generational Center, 1402 E. Chicago Avenue, East Chicago, Indiana.

I further certify that I then and there reported in machine shorthand the proceedings so given at said time and place, reduced the same to typewriting from my original shorthand notes, and that the foregoing is a true, correct, and complete transcript of said proceedings.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereby affix my name and seal this 28th day of November, 1995.

Ruth Grissman, Shorthand Reporter

My Commission Expires 4-30-99